1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
3 4	DAVID BONENBERGER,
5	Plaintiff, vs. Cause No. 4: 12CV-21CDP
6 7	THE ST. LOUIS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al.,
8	Defendants.
9	TRIAL TRANSCRIPT OF TESTIMONY OF: SGT. DAVID BONENBERGER AND SGT. DEBORAH BOELLING
10 11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CATHERINE D. PERRY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	AUGUST 19, 2013, VOLUME 1
13	APPEARANCES:
14 15	For Plaintiff:
16 17	Ms. Lynette Petruska Ms. Brandy Barth 2010 S. Big Bend Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63117
18	For Defendants:
19	Ms. Dana Tucker
20	Mr. Christopher Hoell Attorney General's Office
21	P. O. Box 861 St. Louis, MO 63188
22	Reported by:
23	Lisa M. Paczkowski, CCR, RPR
24	Official Court Reporter United States District Court
25	111 South 10th Street St. Louis, MO 63102

1	INDEX
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Plaintiff's Witnesses: Sgt. David Bonenberger Direct Examination
11 12 13	
14 15	
16 17 18	
19 20 21	
22 23	
24 25	

1 AUGUST 29, 2013 2 (Voir Dire and Opening Statements were had, 3 but not transcribed.) THE COURT: All right, plaintiffs may proceed 4 5 with their evidence. 6 MS. PETRUSKA: Thank you, plaintiff calls 7 Sergeant David Bonenberger. 8 THE COURT: All right, sir, would you step down here for the clerk to swear you in. You can 9 10 proceed. 11 Thank you, your Honor. MS. PETRUSKA: 12 SGT. DAVID BONENBERGER, 13 being produced and sworn, testified as follows: 14 DIRECT EXAMENATION 15 BY MS. PETRUSKA: 16 I know you stood, pointed, etc., to Q. 17 acknowledge yourself. Can you now formally introduce yourself to the jury? 18 19 Α. David Bonenberger. 20 Q. Where are you currently employed? 21 Α. The St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department. 22 And how long have you been employed by the St. Q. 23 Louis Police Department? Just under 20 years. I started there as a 24 recruit in training on October 11, 1993. 25

1 Q. What's your current rank in the department? I'm a Sergeant. 2 Α. 3 Q. When were you promoted to Sergeant? 4 Α. March 18, 2009. Can you explain to the jury your educational 5 Q. 6 background? 7 Α. I have a Batchelor's Degree in Business 8 Management with a minor in Economics. 9 Where did you receive that degree? Q. 10 Α. Webster University. 11 Q. When did you receive that degree? 12 2001. Α. 13 In your 20 years as police officer, could you Q. 14 briefly list your assignments with the department? Certainly, upon graduation from the police 15 academy in February of 1994, I was assigned to District 16 17 I spent just under five years there. 3. 18 I was then transferred to District Number 5. 19 I spent just under five years there, and was 20 transferred to the Housing Unit, which is a uniformed 21 division that patrols the public housing complexes. 22 From that assignment, I went into the police 23 academy as an instructor for a little over a year. 24 While I was at the Housing Unit, I had also been 25 detached to the Anti-Crime Division for a short period

of time, which is a plain clothes assignment.

Following removal from the police academy, I was transferred to District 2 for three weeks. Then promoted to Sergeant. I spent the last four and half -- little over four and half years as a street Sergeant in District 6.

- Q. When you said you were an officer in District 3 and 5, at the beginning of your career, does that mean you were a patrol officer?
 - A. Yes, uniformed patrol officer.
- Q. What are the duties, if you can explain just briefly to the jury, the duties of a uniformed patrol officer?
- A. Answer radio calls you are dispatched to, writing corresponding necessary incident reports, patrol to various areas that you are assigned to as a crime deterrent, visual deterrent, on crime.

From time to time, you would have to affect arrests of law violators. Basically, just help citizens if they are in need at the time of crisis when they call for assistance.

- Q. Anything else you want to add before we move on?
- A. I also had a different duty when I was in the third district. I was a field training officer. I was

certified as a driver's training instructor.

- Q. Those were additional duties you had to being a patrol officer; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct. Those are additional.
- Q. Could you briefly describe to the jury what your duties were in the housing unit?
- **A.** Essentially the same as the district, except that it was to cover the four public housing complexes in the City of St. Louis.
- Q. How about the Anti-Crime Division, what did you do in the Anti-Crime Division?
- A. The Anti-Crime Division was a division that was a plain clothes operation. You worked in covert vehicles, for lack of a terminology, undercover cars, not marked police cars, things people wouldn't readily identify as police cars.

You look for violent crimes in progress, stolen automobiles was the focus, and try to affect the arrest of people committing the crimes in progress, and I was also the acting supervisor when my immediate supervisor was not there; or otherwise, indisposed as I was in District 5, in the Housing Unit as well.

Q. Before I have get into the academy, I know it jumps in your chronological service, but what do you do currently as a Sergeant in the district?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I supervise -- immediately supervise seven patrol officers that are assigned to my precinct. There are three other precincts in the district that have seven officers assigned -- seven or eight, if I remember correctly, at any given time; not only do I supervise my immediate subordinates, but also other officers that are working, insure that they follow rules, regulations, policies, procedures, State law, review the reports, make sure that they are prepared for duty in proper uniform. That they are following all of those policies and procedures. seven officers -- or any officers that are directly

I conduct annual performance appraisals of the assigned to me. I also patrol the street, and assist the radio calls to help the citizens out.

- Anything else you want to add before I move Q. I am just checking?
 - A. Not that I can think of.
- Let me ask you this way, as a street sergeant, Q. do you have any kind of accounting responsibilities?
 - No. I don't.
- Q. I want to focus on the academy now. First. when were you assigned to the academy?
 - Α. I believe it was January of 2008.
 - How long were you there? Q.

1 Just over a year. I was transferred out of Α. 2 the academy in late February of 2009. 3 Q. Who assigned you to the academy? Α. The Chief of Police. 4 5 What were your duties when you were assigned Q. 6 full-time to the police academy? 7 I was responsible for court curriculum 8 instruction primarily for the recruits in training. Ι 9 specifically taught the patrol block, which is 10 essentially teaching the recruits what the aspects are 11 of patrolling in the city, in the department. 12 I also taught the driver's training. 13 responsible for the coordination of that program. Ι 14 did the practical application block, which is role 15 playing, with the recruits, trying to put them in mock 16 situations that they may encounter on the streets. Ho w 17 they deal with that. 18 I also taught firearms at the firearms range. 19 I was instrumental in the department in purchasing a 20 driving simulator. Currently, I was the only one, to 21 my knowledge, certified to instruct on that apparatus. 22 I authored and wrote the post-approved current driver's 23 training block. I believe they still use it. 24 I also authored the current inservice training 25 tactical car stop, tactical vehicle stop block.

continuing education. The instructors at the academy also have the continuing education classes for current police officers on the street. We have to meet a minimum threshold of a certain amount of training hours. We have inservice for a three-year period going forward.

I also taught the active shooter class while I was in the academy. That had been authored by the assistant director at the time. I also did the -- we have a firearms simulator that's called the rapture laser shot 2000. It is a video with a photo sensor. I don't know what the proper terminology is, but it has two beams of light instead of bullets that targets on the screen. It is a whole training block. People go through that. I authored that. I don't know if they still use it or not. That's about it.

- Q. I want to make sure I'm clear. So based on your testimony, is it my understanding that you taught both new recruits and seasoned officers?
- A. Yes, it is. It wasn't just patrol officers. There was members of all ranks that had to go to continuing education class. Some of them were electives that people signed up for.
- Q. Did you have any kind of special duties with respect to any classes -- new recruit classes at the

academy?

A. When I was there, I was assigned to the supervisor of class 0804, which instructors typically has the assignment role of being the class supervisor, where we are responsible for all of the logistics of the class.

Q. Anything else that you did as a class supervisor before I move on?

A. Basically, you supervise the entire academy class. If there is 40 recruits in the class, you are responsible for that class. You are responsible to make sure all of the paperwork for the recruits, all of their logistical issues are in order. Without going into what all the logistics are, there is a lot of forms, paperwork, you have to sign up for benefits, make sure beneficiaries are correct. Insure they all have driver's licenses so on, so forth, to make sure that everything is in order. You are responsible for that class up until the point they graduate.

Q. So it sounds like you had administrative duties with the class you supervised?

A. Absolutely.

Q. And other than what you have already described what you did that year, you were a full-time instructor at the academy, have you taught any other classes

through the academy?

A. Yes, I was first certified as a driver's trainer in December of '96. So shortly after that, I began teaching recruits, driver's training through the academy, while I was still in the district as an adjunct instructor.

I also taught and recertified people in the use of the taser, the electromagnetic sound device, that's used for a less forced option.

I also taught the ETS equipment, which is a basically a bait car. I don't know if anybody is familiar with bait cars on the jury. You put an electronic beacon, electronic monitoring device on the vehicle or other apparatus.

If it is tripped, you track it. I also instructed on the spike strips, the tire inflation device.

- Q. So have you been teaching somewhat continuously through the academy since 1996?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And what certifications do you have to teach at or through the academy?
- **A.** I hold currently still a Missouri State generalist instructor certificate, which allows me to teach through the State of Missouri in any training

academy. I also have a driver's trainer instructor certification from the safety service center that I received in September of '96.

I also have a Department of Homeland Security driver's training instruction certificate I received in 2008 from the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center in Glenco, Georgia.

I am also certified in taser instruction with respect to deployment and UTS equipment.

- Q. Who paid for all of the certifications?
- A. The department, I believe.
- Q. It sounds like you have been doing the driver's training the longest; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. With respect to the driver's training, is that only to new recruits, or does that also involve experienced officers?
- A. It also involves the current experienced officers in two ways. If you have the misfortune of being involved with a department accident with a police vehicle, department vehicle, there is a board that's called the Department Accident Review Board. They pass a ruling on whether or not you are at fault, for a lack of a better terminology.

It is called a chargeable or nonchargeable

accident. Nonchargeable, being basically they didn't do anything wrong, or whatever the circumstances are. Chargeable being like where you are at fault, or there was some issues that have to be addressed.

So what the department does is they have people that have had chargeable accidents go through a driver refresher course, and I was responsible for teaching that from time to time.

And also a few years ago, the department initiated an inservice driving class for all inservice police officers, not as a punitive thing, but a refresher for training. I was utilized to conduct those classes as well.

- Q. You said that was in the last two to three years, if I remember correctly?
 - A. If my memory serves me correct, yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. Would it be fair to say that based upon your own experience and training, that you had a number of opportunities to observe the various instructors at the academy?
 - A. Yes, I would say that.
- Q. How many years have you had an opportunity to observe or watch how the academy is being run?
- A. Since I was first utilized, was a driver's training instructor, after I received the certification

1 in December -- I'm sorry, excuse me, December of 1996. 2 Based upon your own experiences and training 3 and opportunities said, would you believe that you would be able to effectively evaluate those that are 4 5 responsible for training at the academy? 6 MS. TUCKER: Your Honor, I object. That calls 7 for speculation. THE COURT: I'm going to overrule it. I'm not 8 sure exactly why you are going there. I'll allow you 9 10 to answer this one. 11 THE WITNESS: I believe I would, ma'am. 12 Q. (By Ms. Petruska) And why do you believe you 13 would be able to effectively evaluate officers at the 14 academy -- I'm sorry, instructors at the academy? 15 MS. TUCKER: I object, once again, relevance, 16 speculation. 17 THE COURT: Overruled. 18 THE WITNESS: Because I have been involved 19 with training. It has been my passion, while on the 20 police department, I have been directly involved with 21 teaching since late 1996. I have been an instructor at 22 the academy. I have been a driver's training 23 instructor. I have seen the instructors that do the 24 inservice training. I have been through the classes. 25 I have taught the classes. So I think I would

definitely do that.

Q. You mentioned earlier that one of your extra duties, while you were a police officer, was being a field training officer; is that correct?

A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. Could you explain to the jury what a field training officer is or does?

A. Sure, a field training officer is the first line of training once you graduate from the police academy. Every recruit, once they pass the basics of the teaching academy, are assigned to a field training officer in the district that they are assigned, and it is your responsibility, as a field training officer, to properly train the newly graduated recruits, the new police officers, how to be a police officer. Insure they follow the proper procedures, proper rules, follow the laws obviously.

For lack of terminology, hold their hand for the first several weeks, since they have embarked on this new career. You are specifically responsible for evaluating them. You have to complete evaluations of these recruits that just graduated as police officers, and you have to insure that they are the best they can be.

You know, we want the best police officers. I

might be a little harsh on people, because I demand what was demanded of me. I want them to be as -- that's not what you really asked me, I apologize.

THE COURT: Let her ask you a new question.

- Q. (By Ms. Petruska) Okay. Anything else you would describe as duties as a field training officer?
- **A.** Frequently as a field training officer, you would be asked to supervise recruits or situations until the sergeant got there.

The field officers, infield trainers look to you as the teacher as like you do in school. You have questions about something, you ask your teacher. There is times when you would train more than one new officer. You may have two or three officers riding with you and teaching them during the same eight hour shift.

- Q. How long did you serve as a field training officer?
- A. Sometime in 1997, I believe it was earlier, mid '97, when I was first certified as a field training officer, and I served in that capacity up until the time I was transfer to the Housing Unit which was February of 2003.
- Q. Is there any particular reason that you were transferred to the Housing Unit and ended your time as

a field training officer? 1 2 It didn't end my time as a field training 3 officer. I maintained my field training officers The reason I didn't train any new police 4 status. officers while assigned to the Housing Unit was because 5 6 the Housing Unit was a specialized unit that there were 7 finite parameters on who could be assigned there, and newly graduated recruits to be field trained would not 8 be assigned to a Housing Unit. 9 I kept my field training status up until the 10 time I was promoted in 2009. 11 12 Are sergeants allowed to be field training officers? 13 14 I don't know if allowed is the right term, but 15 supervisors are not field training officers. They are 16 supervisors. 17 How is it that you were selected or got the position of field training officer? 18 19 \mathbf{A}_{-} I was asked. 20 MS. TUCKER: Your Honor, may I please object 21 as to relevance and speculation. 22 THE COURT: Sustained.

Q. (By Ms. Petruska) You said you were an instructor at the academy for about a year; is that correct?

23

24

25

1 A. That is correct. 2 Q. When you were at the academy, did you have contact with the Chief and Lieutenant Colonels? 3 Α. From time to time. 4 5 How often would that occur? Q. 6 Maybe once a week or once every couple of Α. 7 weeks. 8 Q. How would it come about that you would have 9 contact with the Chief and Lieutenant Colonel at the 10 academy? 11 They would come by the academy from time to 12 time to check on status, see how things were going, ask 13 about the recruit classes, ask if just about issues as 14 far as equipment resources, things of that nature. 15 Q. When you were a street officer, did you have any contact with the Colonel rank staff at the 16 17 department? 18 Α. Very rarely. 19 Q. And as an instructor at the academy, did you 20 have contact with the Board of Police Commissioners? 21 Yeah, from time to time, but it was only to 22 say, acknowledge, say hi, when there was graduations 23 occurring. Q. As a street officer, did you ever see the 24

25

Board though?

1 A. Never. Let me -- I'm not sure I clearly 2 differentiated this. You are now a Sergeant? 3 Yes, ma'am. 4 A. As a Sergeant on the street, does it give you 5 6 access to the Colonel staff, now that you are a higher 7 ranka 8 No, only in extraordinary circumstances. If you would have gotten the position of 9 10 Assistant Academy Director, would you have considered 11 that a promotion? 12 MS. TUCKER: I object, relevance and 13 speculation. I'm going to let him testify to 14 THE COURT: 15 this. This is a contested issue in the case. He can 16 testify, go ahead. 17 (By Ms. Petruska) The question before you is would you have considered -- if you would have gotten 18 19 the Assistant Director position, would you have 20 considered it a promotion? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Why is that? Q. 23 It is a high-profile position. The Assistant 24 Director is not only responsible for teaching, but also

all of the administrative duties that come with running

25

1 the operational dynamics of the training facility. The training academy is the first step in your career as a 2 3 police officer. I believe it to be the most important 4 step. 5 With that, if you're tasked with or given the 6 greater responsibility of seeing to it that that 7 operational dynamic is best, you are going to have an 8 opportunity to come in contact with command rank 9 officers, Captains and above Captains, Colonels, Chief, 10 that are going to get an opportunity to see how your 11 work ethic is, and how you work. 12 If they are impressed by that, if you do what 13 they think is the best thing, and succeed you have --MS. TUCKER: Your Honor, could I please object 14 15 to the witness going on and on. 16 THE COURT: Sustained. It is getting -- this 17 has to be in question and answer form. He is going on 18 and on. 19 Q. (By Ms. Petruska) Any other reasons that you 20 would consider the assignment to the academy, Assistant 21 Academy Director position a promotion? 22 MS. TUCKER: Once again, your Honor, I object 23 to the relevance. 24 THE COURT: Overruled. 25 (By Ms. Petruska) If there is anything you

want to add, just add it now? 1 2 Α. Nb. 3 Q. Sergeant Bonenberger, how did you learn about 4 the opening of the Assistant Academy Director position? 5 Α. I read it on a department distributed e-mail. 6 Q. Let me show you --7 THE COURT: The jury can see both of the 8 monitors. It has been admitted. That's fine. 9 MS. BARTH: This monitor is off. 10 THE COURT: The big monitor is not working. 11 Did it come on. It worked earlier this morning when we 12 tested it. 13 MS. PETRUSKA: There it goes. THE COURT: Now, the other one is not on. 14 15 That happens. We test it right before the jury trial 16 starts, and then the first time we use them, they don't 17 Then they will work after that. 18 Many of these exhibits have been received into 19 evidence. If you seeing it, it is in evidence. 20 not going to tell you otherwise. Okay, go ahead, Ms. 21 Petruska. 22 MS. PETRUSKA: Thank you, your Honor. 23 (By Ms. Petruska) Actually, I want to direct 24 your attention to the second page of Exhibit 2, and ask

you if that describes the job duties of the Assistant

25

1 Academy Director. At least, when the position was 2 posted? 3 Α. Yes. Then as I go down further, on that e-mail, 4 Q. 5 that further describes the minimal requirement for the 6 job; is that correct? 7 Yeah, at the time it was posted, it does. Α. 8 Q. Do you recall when that position was posted? 9 I believe it was September 24, 2010. Α. 10 Q. And what did you do when you learned that the 11 Assistant Academy Director position was open? 12 I called Lieutenant Muxo. A. 13 And I know the jury has met Lieutenant Muxo as Q. 14 well, but describe to the jury who Lieutenant Muxo is? 15 He is the director of the academy. He was 16 then. He is today. 17 Did you know Lieutenant Muxo when you called 18 him about the Assistant Director position? 19 Α. Yes. 20 How did you know Lieutenant Muxo? Q. 21 When I went through the academy in '93, he was 22 the defensive tactics training instructor at the 23 academy; and throughout my career, we had had occasion 24 to interact in a professional job-related capacity.

Do you know when you called Lieutenant Muxo?

25

1 A. I don't know the specific date. 2 Q. Do you know if it was before or after you 3 applied for the position? It was before I applied for the position. 4 A. 5 And why did you call Lieutenant Muxo? Q. 6 Well, I knew that I didn't have the three 7 years supervisor experience in my rank, and it was the 8 job that I always wanted, and I thought I would call 9 him and see if my acting Sergeant capacity in the past 10 and all of my experience would allow for superseding 11 that requirement. I know in the past, that the department has 12 13 transferred people into the jobs that didn't meet the 14 minimum requirements as they are supposed to. 15 Q. Any other purpose for calling him? 16 No, just to get a feel for it. Α. 17 What did Lieutenant Muxo tell you when you Q. 18 asked about the open Assistant Academy Director 19 position? 20

A. He told me not to bother applying for it, because the job was going to a black female.

- Q. What did you do when Lieutenant Muxo told you that the position was going to a black female?
 - A. I asked him why.

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And did he tell you why?

1 A. Yes. What did he tell you? 2 Q. He told me that it was out of his hands. 3 Α. That it was not his decision, and Colonel Harris would make 4 5 the decision. 6 Was there anything else involved in that 7 conversation about the Assistant Academy Director 8 position? 9 There may have been some other talk, but I don't recall what it was. It was a very brief 10 conversation at that point. 11 12 Q. How long would you say that conversation 13 lasted? 14 Α. Three, three to five minutes maybe. 15 Q. Did you tell anyone at the department about 16 your conversation with Lieutenant Muxo? 17 Α. I told my wife. 18 Q. And when did you tell her? Right after the phone call. 19 Α. 20 Did you tell anybody else besides your wife, Q. 21 within the department, about that particular 22 conversation? 23 Α. Not directly. 24 When you say not directly, what do you mean? Q. 25 There was an e-mail that was sent to me from a Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

colleague of mine that had told me that I should put -essentially actually put in for the job. I would be the best qualified for it. I should talk to Lieutenant Mixo I responded back by e-mail saying I already had, and they already have somebody else picked out for the job. And I'm going to show you Plaintiff's Q. Exhibit 10, and ask you if that's the e-mail with Sergeant Dandridge that you just mentioned? It is. Α. Does Exhibit 10 tell you, or state when you Q. sent those e-mails? It has the date of September 26, 2010. Q. Was that before or after you applied for the position? A. It was before I applied for the position. Q. And in this first line at the top here, is that what you are referencing a moment ago. "I already spoke to him, " him being Lieutenant Muxo, "there is somebody else already picked for the job?" Α. Yes. Did you apply for the open position anyway? Q. Α. I did.

And why did you apply for the position?

1 Well, it was my -- I wanted to try to get the Α. job that I always wanted in the hopes that maybe 2 3 something would fall through with the person they had picked out for it. 4 And when did you apply for the open position? 5 6 THE COURT: If you are finished with the 7 exhibit, do you mind taking it down? 8 MS. PETRUSKA: Certainly. THE COURT: I'm sorry, the question was when 9 10 did you apply for the position? 11 THE WITNESS: It was sometime shortly after 12 that in the next few days. I believe it was on -- it 13 was either the last few days of September or 14 October 1st. 15 Q. (By Ms. Petruska) And before I move on, 16 knowing that the position had already been -- somebody 17 else had already been selected for the position, any 18 other reasons that you decided to apply for it anyway? Like I said, it was a dream job for me, and if 19 20 something fell through with it, then hopefully I would 21 be considered for it. Because it could be a career 22 builder. 23 Why would you consider the Assistant Academy Q. 24 Director position a career builder? 25 Because of the reasons I said earlier. Α. Ιt

puts you in contact with upper-ranking commanders,

Captains and above that have exposure to you, and they

are the decision-makers. They recommend you for future

promotions.

- Q. You have been with the department almost 20 years, right?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. Based on your own 20 years of experience with the department, are there other assignments in the department that have that same career-building ability?
 - A. Certainly.
- Q. What would you consider those other assignments to be?
- A. Well, obviously assignments as an instructor at the academy, the Homicide Division, are regarded as the best detectives you have. The Intelligence Division, they work directly for the Chief of Police, and we have assignments where you can be detached to Federal agencies like the FBI, the Drug Enforcement Administration, and the Alcohol Tobacco and Firearms Unit.
- Q. Why would you consider those particular assignments to be prestigious and career-advancing?
- A. Well, to get those assignments, you are regarded as the best of the best. The best the

department has to offer, and you are in high-profile positions conducting very complex investigations, and you are -- you have exposure to higher profile incidents. It can also be very career-building, if you do a good job in those assignments.

You are working more directly for the decision-makers in the department, the Captains, the Colonels, and the Chief.

- Q. I'm assuming -- actually, let me backup for a second. Have you put in for any other more prestigious assignments?
 - A. I have.
 - Q. Have you gotten them?
 - A. No.

- Q. Which ones did you put in for?
- A. Earlier in my career, I put in for the Homicide Division, also as a Sergeant. I had applied for Detective, Sergeant, Homicide Division, Anti-Crime Task Force is an assignment, Narcotics Division, the academy. I applied there three times before I finally got the position as an instructor there.
- Q. I'm assuming that when you saw the position posting for the Assistant Academy Director, which was previously Exhibit 1, you looked at the requirements for the jobs and the responsibilities of the job?

1 A. Yes. 2 3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know you testified already you didn't have one of the requirements of the job, but based on that posting, did you feel that you could do -- you could perform the responsibilities of the job?

Yes, I did, because in some -- at least one of the assignments or applications I made previously, I didn't meet the minimum qualifications, and they sent me back a response saying I didn't meet the minimum qualifications. I wouldn't be considered for that job.

Now, I'm focussing now on the duties of the Assistant Academy Director, okay?

A. Yes.

Q. Actually, I can put that back on there. my understanding what I'm now showing you as part of Exhibit 1 are the essential responsibilities, not the qualifications, but the responsibilities of the job?

I'm sorry, I misunderstood you. A.

Q. All right. Would it be -- do you believe you could have fulfilled these responsibilities?

A. Absolutely.

Why is that? Q.

Because I have all -- I possess all of those skills and abilities. I have been an instructor at the academy. I'm able to know what it is like to be an

instructor there. I can teach. I possess a teaching certificate issued by the State. My educational background is such that I'm able to do all of the things. I have overseen the daily operations of the academy classes. I have written lesson plans that have been approved by the State. I have written other training agendas and apparatus. I have met with numerous people in the City, and the State, at the levels that I have to.

I have plenty of experience with the logistics and the administrative end of these things.

- Q. How would the duties of a street Sergeant compare with the duties of the Assistant Director of the academy?
 - A. I don't think they really do.
- Q. How is that? Can you explain to the jury why you don't consider them a comparable position?
- A. You don't directly teach anyone, train anybody as a street supervisor, as a Sergeant on the streets.

 Although you do have to do some helping and coaching, which you would do with anybody.

But in the Academy Director position, you are basically running the academy, helping the Director out. In the streets, I'm running my own precinct with the assistance of other supervisors and the Watch

1 Commander, who then answers to the Captain. I don't have any direct control of the 2 3 operational dynamic of the platoon. Where at the 4 academy, the Assistant Director, you would be pretty 5 much the second in charge and absolutely would be 6 governing how that operational dynamic worked out. 7 Anything else you want to add before I move Q. 8 on? 9 No. ma'am. 10 We have already established that you applied Q. 11 for the Assistant Director position, correct? 12 Yes, ma'am. Α. 13 Let me show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 3, and ask 14 you first if that is your application for the Assistant 15 Academy Director position? 16 Α. Yes. And what did you state as your qualifications 17 Q. 18 for that position? 19 Essentially everything I said earlier, 20 currently post-certified as a general instructor in the 21 State, certified by the Department of Homeland Security 22 for a driver's training instructor, taser instructor, 23 spike strip instructor, ETS instructor. 24 I was previously assigned to the academy as an 25 instructor. Class supervisor for a class there. Ι

2

6

7

15

25

taught patrol, driver's training, practical application, firearms, taught the inservice training, 3 authored the blocks of training for the driver's 4 training program. The inservice tactical vehicle stop 5 program, conducted the active shooter training, the field training officer. I am just very passionate about the training 8 environment, and that I want the most. I strive for 9 the most realistic training that our officers can 10 receive with limited resources. 11 Essentially would Exhibit 3 be your listing of 12 why you felt you were the most qualified applicant for 13 the position? 14 Yes. ma'am. Α. I believe I put Exhibit 3 up there for the Q. 16 jury. Your application also includes something called 17 a personal profile; is that correct? 18 Yes. ma'am. A. 19 Q. Is a personal profile attached to any 20 application for any position at the police department? 21 Α. I believe it is. 22 Can you explain to the jury, what is a Q. 23 personal profile? 24 It is basically a background of your

employment history. It lists your badge number, your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

department serial number, handcuff number, things like that, your dates of service, how long you have been there. What your date of rank was. What your educational background is. What your training background is. Basically, it is a department history. It is a snapshot of you, at least in terms of your employment with the department? Yes, ma'am. A. And does a personal profile also list the awards and commendations of an officer? It does. Α. MS. TUCKER: I object to the line of questions as to relevance. THE COURT: Sustained. MS. PETRUSKA: Judge, may I address that. THE COURT: Yeah, come to the bench. (Proceedings were held at sidebar, outside the hearing of the jury.) THE COURT: Thank you. MS. PETRUSKA: The policy for transfers talks about transfer selections are supposed to be made on the knowledge, skills, and ability of the officer, and we believe that the awards and commendations that Sergeant Bonenberger received prior to applying for this position show his knowledge, skills, and abilities as part of the selection process.

THE COURT: Your question, does the personal profile list the awards of an officer, the objection is irrelevant.

MS. PETRUSKA: I'm going to ask next what his awards and commendations were.

MS. TUCKER: Your Honor, our position is this was an exhibit that the plaintiff produced to us that we objected to, because I agree the promotion does talk about qualifications he is awarded, and his commendations don't specifically set out the qualifications that she went through. The qualifications with regard to the certificates, and everything else he has obtained, which establishes his qualifications.

These awards and commendations are subjective opinions with regard to specific individual incidents, not necessarily whether he is fit to be or to be promoted to a particular position.

Also during the testimony of the all of the witnesses, they testified these awards or commendations specifically are not considered relied upon for promotion, because they are so subjective.

THE COURT: I thought the objection was to the form. So it is clear, I thought the objection was to

```
1
     that specific question. What is on this form, which to
 2
     me, is a silly question. So I was sustaining it,
 3
     because unless I knew some reason what's on the form is
 4
     particularly relevant, it is not relevant.
 5
              I mean, the question is whether his
 6
     qualifications are relevant or awards are relevant.
 7
     That's a different issue. I think he is allowed to
 8
     testify this is a promotion case. If he has awarded
 9
     honors, I think he can testify in a promotion case.
10
              I want to clarify this exhibit was on the list
11
     of exhibits I did receive into evidence this morning
12
     without objection.
13
              MS. TUCKER: No, it was not.
              MS. PETRUSKA: No, your Honor, that's why I
14
15
     wanted to lead into it, because I know.
16
              THE COURT: Has it been up in front of the
17
     jury?
18
              MS. PETRUSKA:
                              No, well the summary of them
19
     through the personal profile is admitted, but these are
20
     actually --
21
              THE COURT: What's the exhibit number?
22
              MS. PETRUSKA:
                              Nine.
23
              THE COURT: Did you say the Exhibit 9?
24
              MS. PETRUSKA: I knew she was going to object.
25
     I wanted to try to get it worked out before we pull it
```

1 down. 2 THE COURT: Did you show it to him. MS. TUCKER: No. She hasn't shown it to the 3 4 jury yet. 5 THE COURT: You can't do it if you have an --6 have an exhibit up on the screen. You start asking a 7 witness does Exhibit X show whatever, but it is not 8 what you have got up on the screen. That's misleading 9 to the jury and Judge, which is more important. 10 There is an exhibit up on the screen you are 11 asking about a different exhibit. So you can't have 12 one in front of him and ask about a different one, 13 unless you are very clear to everyone that's what you 14 are doing. You might want to compare them. It is 15 otherwise misleading. It implies to the jury you are 16 talking about another piece of the form we haven't seen 17 yet. This is a totally different question than I 18 thought it was. 19 MS. PETRUSKA: I did ask about the personal 20 profile. It does include awards and commendations. 21 THE COURT: I thought you just told me that. 22 (The proceedings returned to open court:) 23 THE COURT: Members of the jury, we are going 24 to take a minute to discuss this. We need to discuss 25 the exhibits and some other things outside your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hearing. I apologize for making you leave. We are going to send you out to the jury room. It shouldn't be very long. Stay in the jury room. It may be -- I'm hoping five minutes. We will get this worked out, I think. I'm not understanding the lawyers very well here. (At 2:30 p.m., the Proceedings stood in temporary recess.) THE COURT: Go back to the lectern, okay, the first thing I'm going to ask is for the clerk to please put back on the screen what was on the screen before. Don't let anybody move anything. I want to see what the jury was seeing, and what I was seeing. Okay, this document that the jury has been seeing, this document that has been shown to the jury right now, or it was right before we came up and had this. What is the exhibit number on the document that's on the screen right now? MS. PETRUSKA: I believe number three, Judge. THE COURT: That document has been received into evidence without objection. MS. PETRUSKA: That is correct, Judge. THE COURT: What is that document, it says application, and I believe you asked him, and you said

attached to this is your personal profile sheet.

MS. PETRUSKA: That's correct, Judge. THE COURT: Now, you have asked him the 2 3 question through the objection was: Does the personal 4 profile sheet show awards and commendations. That was 5 the objection. 6 MS. PETRUSKA: Yes. 7 THE COURT: I don't think it is relevant what 8 is shown on that necessarily. That's why we came to 9 sidebar. You were going to tell me why it was relevant whether a personal profile sheet has awards and 10 11 commendations. I still haven't heard the answer to 12 that question. 13 MS. PETRUSKA: I was going to use it, Judge, 14 for the foundation for him to explain to the jury what 15 his awards and commendations were. 16 THE COURT: What is the document -- at 17 sidebar, Ms. Tucker, you told me there was an objection 18 If it is not the document that's up on the screen, 19 how do I know what we are talking about. Go back to 20 the lectern and answer the question with the mic. 21 MS. PETRUSKA: It would be separate, 22 Exhibit 9, Judge, which is a physical copy of the 23 awards and commendations. This would be the list. 24 That's part of the personal profile. 25 THE COURT: So what are we fighting about.

```
Are we fighting about Exhibit 9, or about the question
 1
 2
     that drew the objection, or about whether I'm going to
     allow in evidence the fact that he has awards and
 3
 4
     commendations.
              MS. PETRUSKA: I believe it is that.
 5
              MS. TUCKER: Your Honor, it would be
 6
7
     Exhibit 9.
8
              THE COURT: Well, the plaintiff has not
     offered or introduced it. Are you intending to ask to
9
10
     introduce Exhibit 9.
11
              MS. PETRUSKA:
                             I was, yes.
12
              THE COURT: Why?
13
              MS. PETRUSKA: Just to show it. Again, it is
     the certificate themselves, to show the awards and
14
15
     commendations he has received.
16
              THE COURT: Would you hand those to the clerk.
17
     I want to hear your objection.
18
              MS. TUCKER: Our position is we did not object
19
     to the Plaintiff's Exhibit 3, which is the commission's
20
     interview sheet that they -- it was basically their
21
     application.
22
              THE COURT:
                          Right.
23
              MS. TUCKER: It does list the awarded
     commendations. That's that. Now that is a separate --
24
25
     that's a list of -- that's the actual certificate and
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

award. It is listed here. I don't think it is relevant now to show the actual certificate and award to the jury. THE COURT: Are you objecting to anything on Exhibit 3? MS. TUCKER: No, ma'am. THE COURT: So she can ask him any questions about Exhibit 32 MS. TUCKFR: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. So the objection to the question that led us to the sidebar is overruled. Now with regard to Exhibit 9, dealing with this in advance, which I didn't understand that's what we were doing. But now that I do understand that's what we are doing, the plaintiff wants to introduce this into evidence. Why are these -- if he can testify about them, and there is a list of them, why do you need to get Exhibit 9 into evidence, or why do you want it into evi dence? MS. PETRUSKA: You know, he can testify to that, but I would like the jury to have a physical exhibit when they are in the jury room seeing all his awards and commendations, because I don't know what they are going to take notes about. THE COURT: I'm sustaining the objection.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

These are awards of excellence, and they have a lot of information that's simply not relevant; and for all I know, somebody might decide well, there is all sorts of awards. They say things. There is a certificate. There is a narrative description of a bank robbery. There is something he got from a crusade against crime. It looks like a brochure, and they have stuff about other officers as well as him, referring -- it is a description, a narrative, these are not -- I don't think it is relevant. MS. PETRUSKA: Judge, how would that be any different from the discipline records that they want to introduce. THE COURT: I don't know. When the discipline records that they want to introduce are brought before me, we will discuss those at this time. I'm not going to let you have Exhibit 9. I'm sustaining the objection to Exhibit 9. He can talk about what he has received. Ιf they somehow imply that it is not true or something, then I might consider it. But I don't think all of the extra stuff in these awards is -- it is cumulative, and the narrative in it is not relevant to the case. He can testify about his awards. So that's

the ruling on the objection. Let's take a five minute

1 break. That way maybe we can take our afternoon recess 2 a little later than normal. We will tell the jury five 3 more minutes. (At 2:45 p.m., the Proceedings resumed in open court.) 4 5 THE COURT: Thank you, members of the jury, 6 that turned into sort of an earlier afternoon recess. 7 We will probably take a short one before 5 o'clock. 8 You may continue. 9 MS. PETRUSKA: Thank you, your Honor. 10 (By Ms. Petruska) Sergeant Bonenberger, does 11 Plaintiff's Exhibit 3, include a listing of your awards 12 and commendations from the department? 13 It does. I believe there -- it might not Α. 14 contain them all. 15 Could you explain to the jury what awards and 16 commendations you received from the department prior to 17 applying for the Assistant Academy Director position? 18 I have received a recognition award from the Department, Missouri Department of Mental Health, 19 20 called the John J. Mactey (phonetically) award. I have 21 received a recognition award from the Midwest Anti-Auto 22 Crime Association. I have received four officers of the month, an 23 24 officer of the year, and I believe four department 25 awards of excellence. Most recently, I was awarded the

medal of valor. 1 Any other awards that you can remember? 2 Q. 3 Α. Not that I can remember. 4 What's the criteria for receiving the medal of Q. 5 valor? 6 I really don't know. Α. 7 So you don't know why you received it? Q. 8 Well, I received it for an incident where I Α. 9 was involved in a shooting. It is supposed to be for 10 extreme acts of bravery, but not to take anything away 11 from it, I didn't really do anything more than my job. 12 I was just doing what I was supposed to do. 13 It was very fortunate that somebody took 14 notice and decided to give me an award. It is a true 15 honor, but I was just doing my job. Q. Let me ask you this way, this may cut short a 16 17 lot of questions. Could you tell me the criteria for 18 any of the awards that you received, not what you did, 19 but the actual criteria for how they are awarded? 20 MS. TUCKER: I object, speculation. 21 MS. PETRUSKA: If you know. 22 THE COURT: Just a yes or no question. 23 THE WITNESS: No, I cannot. 24 (By Ms. Petruska) I'm going to move on. Q. 25 you been evaluated in your performance as a police

officer? 1 Yes, I have. 2 Α. And how often are police officers evaluated by 3 Q. 4 the department? 5 Annually every year. 6 Let me show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 8. Q. believe I put that up on the stand for you as well, so 7 8 that you could look through that without me having to flip through every page for the jury. 9 10 Let me ask you first to identify is 11 Plaintiff's Exhibit A your employment evaluations for 12 the five years preceding your application to the 13 Assistant Director position? 14 Yes, it is. Α. And let me blow this up for the jury a little 15 Q. 16 bit, so they can see this. Basically a performance 17 evaluation in the department lists three rankings for 18 an Officer or Sergeant, improvement needed, meet 19 standards, or exceed standards; is that correct? 20 Yes, ma'am, it is. Α. 21 How have you been rated. How are you rated as 22 a police officer or Sergeant in the five years

A. Either as meet standards or exceeds standards.

preceding your application to the Assistant Director

23

24

25

position?

1 Q. Did you ever receive an improvement needed marking or rating? 2 3 Α. Never. 4 Q. Would it be your position that Sergeant Taylor 5 is less qualified than you for the Assistant Director 6 position? 7 TUCKER: Objection, speculation. MS. 8 THE COURT: Sustained. 9 (By Ms. Petruska) Did you have any other 10 communications with Lieutenant Muxo about the Assistant 11 Director position first before it was filled? 12 A. I sent him an e-mail. 13 And do you recall what that e-mail said? Q. 14 I believe it said that I went ahead and filled 15 out the application and submitted it in the event that 16 something fell through with the person that they had in 17 mind. Did you send that e-mail before or after you 18 19 applied for the Assistant Director position? 20 Α. That one would have been after I applied for 21 it. 22 And let me show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 11, 23 and first, first ask you if you recognize that that's 24 the e-mail you just described to the jury? 25 Yes, I do. Α.

Q. And if that particular e-mail shows that you sent it to Lieutenant Muxo on October 1st, 2010, at 3:39 p.m., would you have any reason to disagree with that?

A. No, I would not.

- Q. When you said you submitted it in case something fell through with the person they have in mind, have I just marked on the exhibit what you were just talking about?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. Did you have any other communications with Lieutenant Muxo about the Assistant Director position again before it was filled?
 - A. I did. I sent him another e-mail.
- Q. What was the purpose or content of that e-mail?
- A. That I hadn't heard anything about interviews that were going to be conducted, and I was going out of town during one of the weeks. I thought interviews may be conducted. So I sent him an e-mail letting him know that I wouldn't be available, and I wouldn't be able to receive phone messages at my residence.

In the event that any interviews were scheduled, I didn't want to be marked as a no show in a message that was left at my house, and I didn't receive

it. 1 Did you ever get a call about an interview? 2 Q. 3 Α. No, I did not. Were you ever told why you were not called for 4 Q. 5 an interview? 6 Α. Nb. 7 Did you have any communications with Q. 8 Lieutenant Muxo about the Assistant Director position after it was filled? 9 10 Α. Yeah, yes, I did. 11 And actually before I get into that, who would 12 be involved in a decision to appoint an Assistant 13 Academy Director? 14 MS. TUCKER: Objection, speculation. 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 (By Ms. Petruska) Do you know typically how Q. assignments or transfers are made in the department? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 How are assignments or transfers typically Q. 20 made in the department? 21 MS. TUCKER: Objection, speculation. 22 THE COURT: What's the basis for your 23 knowl edge? 24 THE WITNESS: My -- the way they have been 25 made throughout my 19 plus years on the police

department. 1 THE COURT: Have you been involved in making 2 3 transfers and those things? 4 THE WITNESS: No, I have not. 5 I'll sustain it. THE COURT: 6 (By Ms. Petruska) When did you learn that the Q. 7 Assistant Director position had been filled? 8 When it was broadcast on a department e-mail. A. 9 Q. And who filled the open Assistant Director 10 position? 11 The Chief of Police. Α. 12 I'm sorry, who was selected to be placed in Q. that position? 13 14 Sergeant Angela Taylor. Α. And what is the race of Sergeant Angela 15 Q. 16 Taylor? 17 She is African-American. A. For the record, you are white, correct? 18 Q. 19 A. Yes. 20 What race is Sergeant Muxo? Q. 21 Α. He is Hispanic. 22 What race is Colonel Harris? Q. 23 Α. He is African-American. 24 What race is former Chief Isom? Q. 25 He is also African-American. Α.

1 Did you do anything after you learned who Q. filled the Assistant Director position? 2 3 Α. Yes, I did. 4 Q. What did you do? 5 I filed a grievance and EEOC complaint. Α. 6 And you testified earlier that you applied for Q. 7 other positions in the department before like homicide, 8 I believe, and you said some kind of Federal assignment 9 that you didn't get; is that correct? 10 Yeah, the Federal assignment I didn't get was the supervisor for the Gang Task Force detached to the 11 12 FBI. 13 Are there any other positions you have applied Q. 14 for during your career that you didn't get? 15 Α. Other than the ones I mentioned, I believe 16 that covers them all. 17 Did you file any type of grievance or 18 complaint when you didn't get those other positions? 19 A. Nb. 20 Did any of those other positions go to Q. 21 African-American candidates? 22 Α. Yes. 23 What positions -- what positions you didn't Q. 24 get went to African-American candidates?

I had applied for the FBI Supervisor position

25

1 twice, and on the first occasion, it went to an 2 African-American male. When I had applied to the --3 THE COURT: Go ahead. 4 THE WITNESS: -- the Detective Sergeant in 5 Homicide, that went to an African-American female, and 6 I believe in the times that I have applied to the 7 Narcotics Division, Anti-Crime, there were multiple 8 openings. So I can't say for certain that --9 MS. TUCKER: Your Honor, I'm going to object 10 to plaintiff speculating with regard to anything he 11 doesn't have direct knowledge on who is assigned to the 12 various positions. THE COURT: I'm confused too. I thought you 13 14 said the only two you applied for were the homicide and 15 the Gang Task Force. Didn't he just testify to that? 16 MS. PETRUSKA: I think I said that, and he 17 responded that there were others that he had mentioned 18 earlier. I can clarify that. 19 (By Ms. Petruska) Why don't you list all of 20 the positions you applied for, and you didn't get again 21 to avoid any confusion? 22 Sure. The FBI Gang Task Force Supervisor, the 23 Detective Sergeant in Homicide, as well as when I was a 24 patrol officer, I applied for the Detective position 25 there, Anti-Crime Division, the academy on three

different occasions, prior to the fourth time, when I received it, the Intelligence Division, and the Narcotics Division, I believe.

Q. And you testified that on at least two of the occasions, an African-American candidate was selected over you; is that correct?

A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. Let me show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 13, and ask you if that is the grievance you filed after you didn't get the Assistant Director position?

A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. I want to particularly direct your attention to the section of your grievance that talks about a fair solution to my grievance is, do you see that?

A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. Do you also see in the fair solution to my grievance is that you asked for: "Grant me an interview for the position with a member of the EEOC present during the interview?"

A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. And did you also request that the department conduct fair and unbiased interviews with qualified candidates with a member of the EEOC present during all of the interviews?

🗛 Yes, ma'am.

1 Can you explain to the jury why you made that Q. 2 request in your grievance? Because I believed that I had been 3 Α. 4 discriminated against. Why is it that you believed you had been 5 6 discriminated against? 7 Because I was told by Lieutenant Muxo that I 8 shouldn't bother filling out an application for the 9 job, because it was going to a black female. 10 Did you put Lieutenant Muxo and what he told you in the grievance? 11 12 Α. No, I did not. 13 Can you explain to the jury why you didn't put 14 what Lieutenant Muxo told you in Plaintiff's 15 Exhibit 13? 16 When Lieutenant Muxo told me what he had told Α. 17 me, I believed he was being as truthful and honest with 18 me as he could be, and I didn't want him to fall under 19 -- I knew it would -- making this complaint would throw 20 him under the bus, so to speak. I wanted to minimize 21 that as much as I possibly could. 22 When somebody is honest with you about 23 something of this nature, it is very difficult to make 24 a decision to address it; and like I said, I think

Lieutenant Muxo was being honest and truthful with me.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I wanted him to not have to fall under, or fall under as little scrutiny as possible from the department. Any other reason that you didn't put in what Lieutenant Muxo told you in Exhibit 13? I wanted to give the police department a chance to right this, to make it right, didn't want to embarrass the police department, or let them embarrass themselves anymore than they already had. Did you ultimately set forth what Lieutenant Muxo told you in any kind of complaint or grievance? In the EEOC complaint, I did. Α. Why did you include it in your EEOC complaint? Q. Because the department did nothing to address Α. what had occurred, and I had to take it to the next level. And before I move on in terms of the response Q. to the grievance, when you had -- when you had not gotten other jobs before, that had gone to African-American candidates, did you file any grievance or EEOC complaint with the department about those positions?

A. No, never.

Q. Did you receive a response to your grievance?

A. Yes.

Q. And do you recall when you received the

response to your grievance?

1

2 I believe it was six or seven days after I filed it. 3 4 And how did you receive a response to your 5 ari evance? 6 I was called by a member of the Human 7 Resources Division and asked to come to the Human 8 Resources Division for a response. 9 Q. What did she tell you about your grievance? 10 Objection, hearsay, your Honor. MS. TUCKER: 11 THE COURT: Overruled, overruled. 12 THE WITNESS: The Human Resources person told 13 me that the Chief Isom had responded to my grievance in 14 She read it to me while I was sitting in an e-mail. 15 her office, and it said essentially that the Chief Isom 16 made a transfer based on the fact that Sergeant Angela 17 Taylor had more time and rank, and that she had a clean 18 disciplinary background. 19 (By Ms. Petruska) And let me show you -- I 20 understand you said it was read to you. You didn't see 21 it that day, but is Exhibit 47, essentially what Ms. 22 Hicks told you that day in her office? 23 THE CLERK: Judge, that's not in evidence. 24 THE COURT: That's not in evidence, what 25 Exhibit 47?

1 THE CLERK: 47. 2 MS. PETRUSKA: It is or isn't. 3 THE COURT: It is not. You can show it to 4 him, if you want to. Is it the same as Defendant's 5 Exhibit B? 6 MS. PETRUSKA: It is, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Can 47 be received without 8 objection. Can Exhibit 47 be received without objection? 9 10 MS. TUCKER: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: So 47 is received. You can show 12 it again. 13 MS. PETRUSKA: Thank you, your Honor. 14 (By Ms. Petruska) Let me direct your 15 attention again to Exhibit 47, and ask you if that's 16 essentially what's contained in Exhibit 47 is 17 essentially what Ms. Hicks told you orally? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And what did you do when Ms. Hicks read you 20 the Chief's response to the grievance? 21 I told her that it was inaccurate. 22 Sergeant Taylor and I had been promoted on the exact 23 same day, and that she did not have a clean 24 disciplinary background. 25 Was there anything else that you considered

1 inaccurate about Exhibit 47? 2 I believe that was it. 3 Okay. I'm going to show you what has been Q. marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2. That appears to be a 4 5 memo dated March 18, 2009, naming promotions; is that 6 correct? 7 Α. Yes 8 Does Exhibit 2 -- I know I'm going to have to Q. flip to the second page, but does Exhibit 2, show what 9 10 you have previously testified to that you, and Sergeant 11 Taylor, were promoted on the same date? 12 Α. Yes, it does. 13 I started to ask this a minute ago. I want to 14 get back to it. After the Assistant Director position 15 was filled, did you have any other conversations with 16 Lieutenant Muxo about it obviously? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. And do you know when that occurred? 19 Α. I believe it was October 30, 2010. 20 And how did that particular conversation come Q. 21 about? 22 I was on the scene of a possible barricaded 23 subject in District 8. Lieutenant Muxo had called my 24 cellphone. I couldn't get the call, and then there was

some officers on the scene that told me that Lieutenant

25

Muxo was looking for me to speak with me, and I -- we made occasion to meet up. We had a conversation, physical conversation.

- Q. And can you describe to the jury -- let me ask you first, set the scene for the conversation, were you in front of other officers, were you by yourself, how physically did the conversation take place?
- A. There were several other officers. I believe it was after the incident had been secured, and he sought me out, and we spoke briefly, and he asked to speak with me privately. So we began walking up an alley, away from the other officers that were at the location.
- Q. As far as you could tell, could anybody else
 -- as far as you could observe, could anybody else hear
 your conversation?
 - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. And what did Lieutenant Muxo tell you about the Assistant Director position on October 30, 2010?
- A. He told me that he had no choice. That he had to bring color down to the academy.
 - Q. And did he tell you anything else?
- A. That it was Colonel Harris' decision, and that I should keep doing what I am doing with the driver's training. That he would try to create a position for

1 me at the academy. 2 How long did that particular conversation 3 last? Not very long, three, five minutes maybe. 4 Α. 5 As it relates to the academy, not what was Q. 6 happening at the scene, was there anything else that 7 was happening on that particular conversation on 8 October 30th? 9 A. Nb. 10 Do you still want to be the Assistant Director Q. 11 of the academy today? 12 Α. Absolutely. Could you explain to the jury why that is? 13 Q. 14 MS. TUCKER: Your Honor, I object. That is asked and answered with regard to why he wants to be 15 16 Assistant Academy Director. 17 THE COURT: Overruled. 18 THE WITNESS: It is my dream job on the police 19 department. I have been involved in training. 20 started getting involved in training shortly -- little 21 over two years after I had graduated the police 22 academy, previous commanders had recommended me to get involved in the training, which I graciously accepted. 23 It is my passion. 24 25 I believe this police department to be one of

the best in the nation, and I would really like to continue with that, and make it even better than it is now.

I believe I have everything that is necessary to make police officers the best that they can be, to help them along their way, and to have the ability to maybe not have people that are as good as they could be, that aren't cut out for police work, because in every facet of this job, in any job, there are people that shouldn't do the job sometimes. In training is where you discover who has what it takes and who doesn't.

And I can only go forward in my career. It would be a good step to enhance my career, if I was given the opportunity. It is basics of police work starting in training. That's where you have to insure that everything -- at least in my opinion -- that everything is trained properly, and it brings out the best in everybody that can do the job, to the best help, everybody they come in contact with.

- Q. And anything else you want to add before I move on in terms of why you still want to be Assistant Director today?
 - A. No, ma'am.
 - Q. Could you explain to the jury how being denied

the Assistant Director position has impacted you personally as it relates to your job?

- A. Being denied the position in and of itself hasn't impacted me. I was denied for positions before. It was the reason I was denied, that's because of my race.
- Q. Let me rephrase the question. How has being denied the Assistant Director position because of your race impacted you personally in terms of your performance of the job?
- A. It is -- especially at first, it has been devastating. You know, I go to work knowing that no matter what I do or how good I have done something, or what I have to do, no matter how well it is done, or how well it is executed, it is not going to matter.

I used to think that the police department would take care of you, would really acknowledge and grasp the job that you did well-done, and sometimes when people stand out, you want those people to move forward in their career and be the next leaders of the department. I really thought that's what I was doing, and where my career was going.

And when I was told I couldn't have this job that I was more than qualified for simply because of the color of my skin, it just made my lose faith in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

way things are done from the top level of this police department, and it is sickening. It is illegal and sickening. It really made me second guess my job, and how to do it. But I can't let that -- I couldn't let that dictate how I deal with people on the street. officers that depend on me. The citizens that depend on me to do my job. It is very difficult. Is there anything you wanted to explain to the jury about how this decision has impacted you professionally before I move on? MS. TUCKER: I object. She asked, and he answered the question. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: Well, professionally, I mean, I sued the police department. My career is going nowhere. No matter -- no matter what happens in my career now, I'm always going to have this label that I sued the police department for this reason. I mean, if that position came up today, and I applied for it, I know darn good and well that I wouldn't be selected for that position. MS. TUCKER: Your Honor, I'm going to ask you to request -- or request that this last testimony be

stricken from the record, because this is sheer

speculation on Sergeant Bonenberger's behalf.

THE COURT: It is. I think that's what it was called for. I'm not going to strike it. Members of the jury, do you understand this is his opinion about what he thinks. It is not anything attributable to the defendants. Go ahead.

MS. PETRUSKA: Thank you, your Honor.

- Q. (By Ms. Petruska) Before I move on how it has impacted you personally, anything else that you want to explain to the jury about how it has impacted you professionally; if not, I'll move on?
 - A. Go ahead and move on.
- Q. Okay. So could you explain to the jury, please, how being denied this decision because of your -- I'm sorry, denied the position of Assistant Director because of your race has impacted your personal life?
- A. Yeah, I mean at first, I lost weight. I had trouble sleeping. I mean, stress on my personal life, my relationship with my wife and my children. I know it caused me to be shorter, I guess, that's the term, quicker to react to things.

I could see that my -- I would get angry at things I wouldn't normally get angry at home. I just have a harder time dealing with realities, the obstacles that life offers you, because I knew no

matter what happened at work, I have to go out and do the job. That's the only thing required of me. I have to do it to the best of my ability. I can't let that go.

But I knew no matter what I did, it didn't matter. I can change all kinds of things at work or try to make them change for the better. The only thing I cannot change is the color of my skin. When you know that's the reason why you didn't get the chance to excel on something you love, it made it very difficult to speak with people at home, and it has been deflating.

- Q. Anything else again in terms of your personal life, how this decision has impacted you that you want to explain to the jury?
- A. Don't get me wrong, having to do this has been agonizing just to go through it and get it to this level, because I knew that it was just -- it took the highest level that can evolve. That's never going to be good for you personally in your career, if you have to go this way.

What happened to me was wrong. It was illegal. It cannot be allowed to occur, and it must be stopped. I don't want anybody else to have to go through this. It has been just horrible, devastating.

1 I know I'm not going to get anywhere else in this 2 Thank God, I'm close to 20 years. I don't 3 have anything else to say. 4 MS. PETRUSKA: Judge, if I may confer for one 5 moment, please. 6 THE COURT: You may. 7 MS. PETRUSKA: Thank you. 8 (By Ms. Petruska) Sergeant Bonenberger, I 9 know you have referenced this briefly that it had an 10 impact on your family. Could you go into a little bit 11 more detail about how the department's decision has 12 impacted -- I think you said your wife and children, 13 how has it impacted your relationship with your wife 14 and children? 15 Well, since this has occurred, it becomes a part of every conversation. I don't know why. 16 It just 17 It has caused me to be, I quess, a little bit 18 more distant at times when I start thinking about it, 19 and my son, one of my sons is 20. My other son is five 20 now, interacting with them at times it becomes more 21 difficult when this is on my mind. I have to separate 22 from them, and it is very very difficult. 23 My wife and I seem to argue quite a bit more. 24 I think that's -- I mean, I used to think that I was

the kind of guy that would -- things would roll off of,

25

if you know what I mean, where adverse things just roll 1 2 off you. 3 This isn't something that you can just accept 4 and move on. It has just caused more difficulty with 5 dealing with my family. 6 Q. Is your wife also a police officer? 7 Yes, she is. Α. 8 What department is she with? Q. 9 Α. She works for the St. Louis Police Department. 10 Q. And has the fact that you are both on the same 11 department have any impact on how this has impacted 12 your family? 13 Absolutely, you know, I wasn't so much 14 concerned about what would happen to me, because I knew it was me at the forefront, and I expected retaliation. 15 16 I expected to be black-balled. 17 It is not fair to my wife. She is a police 18 officer. She is darn good at her job. She works very 19 hard at it and takes a lot of pride at what she does. 20 MS. TUCKER: I would like to object to this. 21 THE COURT: Can you approach the bench. 22 (Proceedings were held at sidebar, outside the 23 hearing of the jury.) 24 MS. TUCKER: Your Honor, he is now getting 25 into the issue of how his wife feels, all that is

1 speculation. He doesn't know for -- he doesn't know that for a fact. I think I have tried to be to just 2 3 not object, but now it seems like it is going on and 4 on. THE COURT: It sounded like to me we were 5 6 headed in a loss of consortium. 7 MS. TUCKER: Or rehabilitation. 8 What's THE COURT: Only one can talk at once. 9 he going to say next. 10 MS. PETRUSKA: That's not what I was trying to 11 get into. 12 THE COURT: What is he going to say next? 13 MS. PETRUSKA: I thought he was going to say 14 it caused additional stress for the family, because 15 they were with the same department. 16 THE COURT: You will agree you will stop 17 asking these questions, move onto something else. The 18 objection is sustained. 19 (Proceedings resumed in open court.) 20 (By Ms. Petruska) Sergeant Bonenberger, I'm Q. 21 not asking you to speculate on how this has impacted 22 your wife's career. What I'm asking you is has the 23 fact that your wife works for the -- can you describe 24 for the jury what the stress looks like, or what the 25 stress is like, because you guys both work for the same

1 department? MS. TUCKER: Once again, I'm going to object 2 3 to relevancy. 4 THE COURT: Sustained. 5 MS. PETRUSKA: Then I have no further 6 questions. 7 THE COURT: You may cross-examine. 8 MS. TUCKER: Before we do that, could we 9 approach. 10 THE COURT: You may. 11 (Proceedings were held at sidebar, outside the hearing of the jury.) 12 13 MS. TUCKER: Your Honor, do you recall our pretrial conference in one of the motions in limine, 14 15 plaintiff's motion in limine was that the evidence 16 regarding Mr. Bonenberger and why he didn't refile his 17 grievance when it wasn't appropriately filed. She asked that be kept out of the motion in 18 19 limine, and you granted that if it became an issue for 20 me, to approach the bench. In this particular 21 situation, I think the issue has come in that counsel 22 asked Sergeant Bonenberger why he did what he did. 23 basically said -- he wanted to minimize. He wanted to 24 give the department an opportunity to right the wrong, 25 but he didn't do that, because they asked him to refile

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the grievance appropriate. He didn't do that. He went straight to the EEOC. Now I think it is an issue, because it is like the department totally ignored what his issue was when race wasn't even part of the equation initially. THE COURT: What's your evidence about the grievance. What would you be presenting if I allowed you to go into this. MS. TUCKER: When he filed a grievance, he was instructed that the type he needed to grieve the application process, not the fact that the Chief made the transfer, because the chief can make the transfer based on policies and procedure. His issue was with the process. The fact I didn't receive an interview, which is what his grievance specifically said. They told him to refile it and do it right. THE COURT: I don't understand what was wrong with it. You said -- what did he file. What did they tell him to do. What was wrong with what he did? MS. TUCKER: Well, he didn't file -- he didn't file an appropriate grievance. THE COURT: I was trying to understand what was inappropriate. You said he had to grieve the

application and this grievance. I'm trying to -- why

did they tell him to go back and file an appropriate 1 2 grievance because --3 MS. TUCKER: Because your issue isn't with the 4 Chief of Police. Your issue is the fact you didn't 5 have an interview. 6 THE COURT: Who he named as the respondent 7 person, are you still objecting to that? 8 MS. PETRUSKA: I would, your Honor, the reason 9 would be for the same reasons I said in the limine I disagree with that. You are going to have 10 11 this collateral dispute about whether he was told or 12 wasn't told. 13 He didn't file the grievance right. He is 14 going to confuse the issue in the case. It is going to 15 take a lot of time, when it is not a central issue in 16 the case. They have evidence they are going to say 17 they said that he is going to say he granted to grieve 18 it; and you know, he was told he couldn't go to the 19 next step. It is a collateral issue. 20 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the 21 objection, and instruct you, as I did in the motion in 22 limine, I don't believe this has opened the door. 23 Let's not get into the how the grievance was filed in 24 this whole issue. 25 (Proceedings resumed in open court.)

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. TUCKER: 2 3 Q. Good afternoon, Sergeant Bonenberger? Α. Good afternoon. 4 5 I think you testified earlier that you had Q. 6 been on the police department. You have been with the 7 St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department for 19 plus, 8 almost 20 years; is that correct? 9 Yes. ma'am. 10 Q. And also just so I can make sure I'm 11 understanding what you are saying, it is your testimony 12 that Lieutenant Muxo told you that Lieutenant Colonel 13 -- Lieutenant Colonel Harris told you that they wanted 14 an African-American for the position for Assistant Academy position; is that correct? 15 16 A. You--17 THE COURT: Could you rephrase the question or restate it. I'm not sure I caught it all. 18 (By Ms. Tucker) Is it your testimony that 19 20 Lieutenant Muxo told you that Lieutenant Colonel Harris 21 said that they wanted an African-American for the 22 position for Assistant Academy director? 23 Lieutenant Muxo told me that the position was 24 going to a black female, and he had no control over it. 25 It was Lieutenant Colonel Harris' decision.

1 Did he tell you where Lieutenant Harris got Q. that information from? 2 No, ma'am. 3 Α. 4 Q. So what is your position with regard to where 5 Lieutenant Colonel Harris got that information from? 6 I have no idea. 7 Now, you have been a Sergeant for 19 plus Q. 8 years, and as a sergeant --9 THE COURT: Counsel, I don't think he 10 testified to -- I think he said he had been with the department for 19 plus years. 11 12 MS. TUCKER: You are right. 13 (By Ms. Tucker) You have been with the 14 department for 19 plus years. You have been a Sergeant 15 for how long? 16 Α. Since March 18, 2009. 17 Since 2009, but at the time of the situation, Q. 18 you had been a Sergeant for approximately a year? 19 A. It was about a year and a half. 20 Okay so a year and a half, and during that Q. 21 year and a half, I think you testified that as a 22 Sergeant, you had supervisory responsibilities, 23 correct? 24 Correct. Α. 25 Q. To the people that you supervised?

A. Yes, in the district.
Q. You had to make sure

- Q. You had to make sure that they comply with policies and procedures, and special orders, and things of that nature?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. And as a police officer for 20 years, as a Sergeant for a year and a half at that time, you knew that it was wrong to give one person a job over another simply because of the color of their skin. You knew that was wrong, correct?
 - A. Yes, I knew it was illegal.
- Q. And who did you report that to when that happened?
- A. I reported it to the Human Resources Division and the EEOC.
- Q. That was after the fact. So when Muxo made that comment to you, who did you report that to, prior to applying for the job?
- A. I didn't report it to anybody prior to applying for the job.
- Q. When you applied -- let's move onto the application process. When you applied for the position, you knew that the position required three years supervisory experience, correct?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

And you knew that you did not have three years 1 Q. of supervisory experience, correct, as a Sergeant? 2 I believed that I had met that threshold as an 3 4 acting Sergeant, not officially as the rank of 5 Sergeant, but combined, I believed I did. 6 The minimum requirements for the job, Sergeant 7 Muxo, were for three years as a Sergeant, not acting as 8 a Sergeant; is that correct? 9 You addressed me Sergeant Muxo. 10 Q. I'm sorry, Sergeant Bonenberger? 11 It said the minimum qualifications were three Α. 12 years supervisory experience, I believe. 13 And you did not have three years as an acting 14 Sergeant, as a Sergeant, as the rank of Sergeant; is 15 that correct? 16 A. That's correct. 17 You also testified earlier that you felt you Q. 18 were qualified for this position, because you had an 19 instructor's license; is that correct? 20 That's one of the reasons. Α. 21 Right, just one of the reasons, but in having Q. 22 an instructor's license was not a minimum qualification 23 for this job; is that correct? 24 I don't believe it was. Α.

Let me show you again what has been marked as

25

Q.

1 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1, minimal requirements in training; do you see where I'm talking about, Sergeant? 2 3 Α. Yes, ma'am, I do. That doesn't say anything about having to have 4 Q. 5 an instructor's license, does it, under the minimum 6 requirements in training? 7 No, it doesn't. Α. 8 It doesn't say anything about being a field Q. 9 training officer, does it? 10 No, it does not. Α. Once again, that was one of the qualifications 11 Q. 12 that you had, that in your mind, made you more 13 qualified for this position than Sergeant Taylor, 14 correct? 15 Α. Absolutely. 16 It doesn't say anything about being a fire Q. 17 arms instructor, does it, Sergeant? 18 A. Nb. Once again, that's a qualification that you 19 Q. 20 had in your mind that you thought made you more 21 qualified than Sergeant Taylor; is that correct? 22 Yeah, when you consider somebody for the 23 position, obviously, you would want people that have 24 the most appropriate qualifications for a position.

I understand that, Sergeant, but I just need

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you to answer yes or no, being a firearms instructor was not a minimum qualification for this particular position, am I correct? Α. Yes, you are correct. Also Sergeant, you testified earlier that in Q. your mind, this would have been a promotion for you; is that correct? A. Yes. Okay. But the Assistant Academy Director position, that did not come with a pay increase; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. It didn't come with any additional benefits; is that correct? Α. Well, that's debatable. What additional benefits did it come with? Q. When I was at the academy as an instructor, Α. the Assistant Director had a take home car and--Q. Okay, so with this particular position on the time that you applied for it, do you know -- were you going to get a take home car, do you know? I believe so, but I'm not sure. Α. Q. You don't know that for sure? Right. Α. You are speculating about that? Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's the way the past practice was, so I Α. just assumed that it was part of it. There is also the benefit of having holidays and weekends off, because it is predominately a Monday through Friday daytime hour job that's beneficial. Okay, but you could also get the same weekends, holidays off, if you have other positions -if you are in other positions too. That wasn't something that was specifically related to this position; is that correct? I really don't know of any other jobs on the police department besides instructors that have Monday through Friday, weekends off jobs other than aides to commanders. Q. That's why you think this job was a promotion? Α. Nb. I think it was a promotion based on what I testified to earlier with all of the added responsibilities on your direct line of operating the academy. Let's just clean this up. You weren't going Q. -- this Assistant Academy Director position did not come with a pay increase; is that correct?

Q. Thank you.

Α.

A. You are welcome.

That's correct.

Q. And the department does not necessarily consider this a promotion. In the books, you are not considered promoted; is that correct?

A. No. It is not another rank.

Q. In going back to the qualifications of the minimum requirements for training, you testified earlier with regard to all of the teaching -- I'm sorry, you testified earlier with regard to the fact that you had written areas, I guess brochures, things like that, for the police academy, that was not a requirement for this position, authoring any type of training materials was not a minimum requirement for this position, was it?

A. Part of the knowledge of police officer's standards and testing, continuing education training requirements would be.

Q. To you, that means writing -- that's writing manuals. This does not say that part of the requirements is that you author any manual for the academy; is that correct, Sergeant?

A. That's correct, but part of knowledge of the police officer's standards and testing is included in that knowledge would be knowing what is to be contained in post-approval lesson plans and instructions from the police academies in the State of Missouri.

This particular position in the minimum 1 Q. 2 requirements in training doesn't require you to have 3 any type of specific awards and commendations. Ιt doesn't list that here, does it, Sergeant? 4 5 Awards and commendations, no, ma'am. 6 Okay. Now you testified earlier also that Q. 7 when this position became available, you actually 8 called Lieutenant Muxo to inquire about the position, 9 correct? 10 Yes, I did. Α. 11 Q. And Lieutenant Muxo told you that they already 12 had somebody in mind for the position? 13 A. Yes. 14 Okay. So that in and of itself, that's not Q. 15 something that was unusual, is it, that a Commander 16 would have somebody in mind possibly for a position 17 that they may want them to fill? 18 MS. PETRUSKA: I object, to the extent it 19 calls for speculation from the witness, if he knows. 20 THE COURT: Do you know? 21 THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge on who would 22 -- I have never been a Commander, so I have never made 23 that decision. 24 THE COURT: So sustained. 25 Q. (By Ms. Tucker) Has any commander ever

approached you and asked you if you were interested in a particular position?

- A. Once for a detachment, I believe.
- Q. Okay.

- A. Then of course, if you consider field training officer a position, my Commander at the time, wanted me to be a field training officer in '97. That's not a difference. It is additional responsibilities. I don't know if that qualifies with your question.
- Q. Let's move to your grievance, Sergeant. As Plaintiff's Exhibit 13, in your grievance, it states: "I was not granted an interview for the position of Sergeant Assistant Academy Director after properly submitting the application as outlined in special order;" is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Tell me where in this, my grievance is, tell me where in that section you make reference of being discriminated against in that section?
- A. In that section there doesn't appear to be any reference.
- Q. Tell me in that section where you made reference to the fact that Lieutenant Muxo told you that position was already going to an African-American female. Where does it say that in that -- specifically

say that in that section?

- A. I didn't say that in that section.
- Q. Although you do reference the EEOC in the section under a fair solution to my grievance. Once again, you make no reference to being discriminated against or being told that the position was going to an African-American; is that correct? I understand the EEOC language.

What I'm asking you specifically do you state anything in there about being told this position was going to an African-American or race discrimination?

- A. No, it is not specifically included in there.
- Q. You could have written that in where it says my grievance is. You could have written that there, correct. There is no reason you could not have written that there?
 - A. Well, I could have written anything in there.
- Q. You could have written anything there that you wanted to, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Same with regard to a fair solution to my grievance. Once again, that is strictly up to you. You can write anything in there you want to write in there, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You also testified earlier that after filing Q. this grievance -- strike that -- if I recall your testimony correctly, you indicated that you did not want to throw Lieutenant Muxo under the bus; is that correct? A. Yeah, I don't know if that's the proper words, but that's the best I could come up with. Q. That would have been with regard to naming him in the -- or making allegations of discrimination that he told you in your grievance; is that correct? Are you asking if that's the reason why I didn't name him directly in the grievance? According to you, you said you didn't want to throw him under the bus. That's why you didn't name hi m? That's correct. Α. Q. And you wanted to minimize the issue? No, I wanted to minimize any potential for Α. damages, collateral damage, that Lieutenant Muxo might receive. Q. So then that's when you filed the EEOC charge, after filing the grievance where you wanted to minimize anything, any issue; is that correct? It was after I filed the grievance, yes, ma'am.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

Q. You also testified earlier that you filed the grievance like this, because you wanted to give the department an opportunity to address the issue; is that correct? Yes, I wanted to give them an opportunity to address what had been done. How could they address the issue, if you don't Q. tell them what the issue is? I think with mentioning that I wanted an interview with the member of the EEOC present, they would be able do see what the issue was. You expected that they would speculate and Q. figure that out? In a way, yes, I did. Q. Sergeant, you take issue with the fact that Sergeant Taylor was transferred into this position, as opposed to you, and she did not meet the minimum qualifications, is that correct? A. No, I don't take issue with that at all. But it is your testimony that she did not meet Q. the minimum qualifications; is that correct? Yes, that's correct. Α. Q. Nor did you? Yes, that's correct. Α.

Sergeant, are you currently the President of

the Police Officers Association? 1 2 Α. Yes, I am. 3 Q. And you became President after this incident occurred? 4 5 Yes. Α. And in that position, you have routine 6 Q. 7 meetings with the Chief of Police; is that correct? 8 I wouldn't say routine meetings. That's too subjective to identify. 9 10 How often do you meet with the Chief of 11 Police? When Chief Isom was the Chief, I would say I 12 Α. 13 met with him every other week, once a month, or whenever meetings were called by the Chief or by the 14 15 Union to address issues between management and labor. 16 Since Chief Dotson has been in office, 17 initially I would meet with or speak with him probably 18 on a weekly basis, but over the last few months, 19 probably once a month, that's probably the best 20 timeframe I can give you as far as meeting with the 21 Chief goes. 22 You have a good working relationship with 23 Chief Dotson? 24 I would say that it is a good relationship. Ι 25 had a real good working relationship with Chief Isom

as well, at least in my opinion.

- Q. As President of the Police Officers
 Association, you also have interaction with the Board
 of Police Commissioners?
- A. I have had to have, I believe, at least three meetings with Richard Gray, who is the President of the Board of Police Commissioners, and it was over issues -- issues with the police department.
- Q. As the President of the Police Officers
 Association, what are your responsibilities?
- A. Wéll, I'm the CEO of the Police Association.

 I'm responsible for the budget with the association.

 It is well over a million dollars. Responsible for all of the administrative aspects associated with an organized labor union, including being basically responsible for all of the employees, as well as over 1,000 members of the police department that are members of the union, having to deal with any issues that may come to light surrounding labor and management.
 - Q. You have done this successfully?
 - A. I believe I have been very successful.
- Q. Being the President of the Police Officers
 Association, I would -- would you describe that as an important role?
 - A. Well, I would say it is important to those who

1 elected me in the position, but it is not very 2 important to the management of the police department. 3 But you have people that rely on you as 4 President of the Police Officers Association; is that 5 correct? I would say that's a fair statement, yes, 6 7 I would like to go back and re answer the 8 question. I wasn't clear on your question about when I 9 became President of the Association. 10 Q. Uh-huh? 11 I believe I answered that yes, that I became a president after this incident. But I'd like you to 12 13 know that this happened in the beginning was 14 September 2010, and I ran for President of the 15 Association in the summer months, early fall of 2011. 16 Then I took office when I was elected on November 1st. 17 Q. Okay? 18 Α. I don't know if that helps clarify. 19 Q. You ran for the position, and you took office 20 after this incident? 21 Yes. ma'am. 22 And that's not a position -- this is a Q. 23 position that I would imagine you take very seriously? 24 Α. Yes, ma'am. 25

You would not have run for this position had

Q.

```
1
     you not thought you were qualified for this position;
 2
     is that correct?
               The position of the President?
 3
          Α.
              Yes, sir.
 4
          Q.
 5
              Yeah, I run for that. I don't know if there
          Α.
 6
     is a qualification.
 7
               Sergeant Bonenberger, is it your testimony --
          Q.
8
     I just want to confirm your testimony, is the fact that
9
     there were, in fact, three applicants for the position;
10
     is that correct, as far as you know?
11
               For what?
          Α.
12
          Q.
              For the Assistant Academy Director position?
13
          Α.
               As far as I know, yes.
14
              As far as you know, I know you know that you
          Q.
15
     did not, from the three years as a Sergeant, and
16
     Sergeant Taylor didn't have the three years as a
17
     Sergeant, what about the third applicant?
18
          Α.
               Sergeant Buckeridge.
19
          Q.
               Right?
20
               Was promoted after myself and Sergeant Taylor,
          Α.
21
     so he didn't possess the three-year requirement either.
22
              I am also showing you, Sergeant, what has been
23
     marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 8. That's your
     performance appraisal?
24
25
              Yes, ma'am.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Was this a part of the application that you Q. had to turn in when you applied for the Assistant Academy Director position? I don't know if I turned them in with the Α. application or not. You don't know whether this was actually attached to your application when you turned it in for the position; is that correct? I don't remember. I don't know, but I can only assume that those would be making the decision would look into your past performance. I don't know. I might have turned it in with it. I don't remember. Right, but I understand what your assumptions are, but you don't know that for a fact either, correct? Α. Which part? Q. That this was reviewed when the commission interview sheet -- the application was submitted? No, I don't know. A. Sergeant Bonenberger, I think we have made it Q. clear that none of the three applicants had the three years of Sergeant experience when you all applied for the Assistant Academy Director position -- strike that. Back to Plaintiff's Exhibit 3, Sergeant, that is your commissioned interview sheet?

1 A. Yes. If you turn to page -- the third page of that 2 3 commission interview sheet, all the way down from the 4 bottom. Actually, if you would start on the next page, I think. 5 6 Yes, Sergeant, I'm sorry, if you would start 7 on the next page on the bottom number 3089, do you see 8 where I'm talking about. We are going to start at the 9 first column? 10 Yes, ma'am. Α. 11 Q. Okay. We are going to talk about your history 12 with the police department. That hasn't been addressed 13 yet in your direct testimony. 14 Sergeant, since you have been with the St. 15 Louis Metropolitan Police Department, have you been 16 reprimanded at all? 17 A. Yes. And it is -- I'm going to go through those now 18 Q. 19 with you. I just want to make -- I want you to tell me 20 whether you agree with me or not? 21 Α. Okay. 22 Q. In 1994, you received a written reprimand? 23 THE COURT: Excuse me, does this have anything

MS. TUCKER: Yes, your Honor, it is all listed

to do with the exhibit you are showing the jury.

24

1 there in the section. (By Ms. Tucker) In 1994, you had a written 2 3 reprimand for a chargeable car accident? 4 THE COURT: Where is it on the document. Ι 5 don't see anything here. 6 MS. PETRUSKA: Thank you, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: If you would point where you are 8 talking about. 9 MS. TUCKER: Actually it goes into '95. 10 THE COURT: Okay. The document says return to 11 Is that what you are talking about, or I don't 12 I can't -- I just, if it is what you are asking know. 13 him about, that's fine. If you will just point it out. 14 It is hard when you have the document up and everybody thinks we are supposed to look at the document. You 15 16 are talking about something else. 17 If you want to just point it out and ask the 18 question. That will be fine. Go ahead. You can put 19 it back on. Make sure we know what you are talking 20 about. 21 THE COURT: We are still on Exhibit 3, right. 22 MS. TUCKER: Yes. Actually, your Honor, I'm 23 going to take the exhibit down. I'll show you 24 Defendant's Exhibit J. 25 THE COURT: Hold on a second. Is that one

```
1
     already in evidence. Yeah, it is, it is the agreed
 2
     one.
           You can show J.
 3
               MS. TUCKER: The first page of Exhibit A.
 4
               THE COURT: J.
 5
               MS. TUCKER: J, I'm sorry.
 6
               (By Ms. Tucker) Sir, is that a chargeable
 7
     offense for a vehicle accident?
 8
               Yes, I believe so.
          Α.
               That occurred back in April of '94?
 9
          Q.
10
          A.
               Yes.
11
          Q.
               You received a written reprimand for that?
12
              Yes.
          Α.
13
               Then the next page is -- once again, you
          Q.
14
     received disciplinary action for another vehicle
15
     accident; is that correct, this was your second
16
     chargeable accident?
17
          A.
               Yes.
18
          Q.
               What type of reprimand did you receive as a
19
     result of that?
20
               That was a one day suspension.
          Α.
21
          Q.
               Okay.
                      Then here, Sergeant, it looks like you
22
     have another chargeable offense that you received a
23
     written reprimand for. That was another auto accident;
24
     is that correct?
25
          Α.
               Yes.
```

1 Then here, Sergeant, it looks like you were Q. suspended for six day disciplinary leave without pay, 2 3 what was that about, Sergeant? 4 That was involving an off-duty incident with Α. 5 an off-duty officer. 6 It was off-duty, but you were suspended for it Q. -- from work though? 7 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. For six days without pay? Yes, ma'am. 10 Α. 11 You have another violation here, where it Q. 12 looks like you may have received one day suspension? 13 A. Yeah, that was one that I --14 Q. You failed to appear in court? 15 Α. I forgot about court, yes, ma'am. 16 Q. Then you have another violation of Rule 9 17 here, and this was a two-day suspension? 18 That one I never accepted the punishment on. 19 I was suspended for it anyway. I never accepted the 20 punishment on. 21 This was discharging a firearm from a moving 22 vehicle? 23 That guy tried to kill me, and I shot at him. 24 It violated policy. 25 You were charged for it?

1 I never accepted it. I was suspended and lost Α. 2 the two days pay as well. 3 Let me go over my notes real quickly, 4 Sergeant. Sergeant, you testified that you wanted this 5 Assistant Academy Director position, because it would 6 allow for you to have exposure and possibly be promoted 7 to Lieutenant; is that correct? 8 Well, no it would give me --9 MS. PETRUSKA: I object. I do believe it 10 mischaracterizes previous testimony. 11 THE COURT: Overruled. He can explain what he 12 sai d You can answer. 13 THE WITNESS: No, it has the potential to help 14 advance your career. 15 (By Ms. Tucker) And advancement in your 16 career would be you going from Sergeant to Lieutenant; 17 is that correct? 18 That would be one way. Another way would be Α. 19 going to another assignment that I consider to be a 20 promotion as well. 21 And in order to be promoted from Sergeant to 22 Lieutenant, it is not your testimony that all you have 23 to do is have the correct high-profile job. That's not 24 your testimony, is it? 25 That's the only reason to be promoted. Ιs

1 that what you are asking? That's the basis for -- the foundation of 2 3 being promoted is having a high-visibility job? I would certainly hope not. 4 Α. 5 There are other aspects that you have to Q. 6 comply with in order to be promoted; is that correct? 7 Α. Yes. 8 And taking a test is one of those? There is a written test, if that's what 9 Yes. Α. 10 you are asking. 11 When you take those tests, how is that test--Q. 12 how are the results coming down as a result of the 13 test? MS. PETRUSKA: Judge, can I object at this 14 point and approach. 15 16 THE COURT: You may approach the bench. 17 (Proceedings were held at sidebar, outside the hearing of the jury and an off-the-record discussion 18 19 was had.) 20 THE COURT: That's okay. We will take a short 21 break, for the jury, a second recess, while we discuss 22 So the jury is excused for ten minutes. 23 (At 4:00 p.m., the Proceedings stood in 24 temporary recess.) 25 THE COURT: You can go to the lectern. Hold

on a second. I'm looking for my notes from the final pretrial.

Yeah, so the plaintiff filed a motion in limine, and I agreed that the results of the 2012 Lieutenant's test should not be received into evidence, but the defendant could approach the bench, if he was going to ask about those, if she was.

MS. TUCKER: Right. I was not going to ask about the results of the 2012 Lieutenant's exam. I was trying to elicit testimony with regard to that. There is a procedure that you have to go through in order to be promoted to Lieutenant. It is not just being in a high-profile or high-visibility position.

THE COURT: Your question was: "When you take those tests, how are the results coming down as a result of the test." That's the question, and your objection was to that question, I mean.

MS. PETRUSKA: That's why I asked to approach, because I thought -- I thought we were getting into the motions in limine at this point. We were getting into the whole issue of Sergeant Bonenberger not really being eligible for promotion, which I thought was a limiting issue. That's where I thought we were heading.

MS. TUCKER: No, that's not.

1 THE COURT: Why are you asking this question. 2 Why does it matter how the results come down. 3 MS. TUCKER: Because I wanted him to explain 4 that they have clusters A, B, and C. That they are 5 promoted out of clusters. I wasn't going to ask him 6 whether he had taken the exam, and what cluster he fell 7 in. 8 THE COURT: Why are the clusters, and how they were promoted out of the clusters, relevant to this 9 10 case? 11 MS. TUCKER: Because I want the jury to 12 understand being in a high-profile position isn't the 13 only way you get promoted. THE COURT: He has already admitted that. 14 15 I'll sustain the objection. We will be in recess for 16 six minutes. 17 (At 4:14 p.m., the Proceedings resumed in open 18 court.) 19 THE COURT: You may continue with your 20 cross-examination. 21 (By Ms. Tucker) Sergeant, I just have a few 22 more questions for you. Prior to the Assistant Academy 23 Director position opening up, you were a Sergeant; is 24 that correct? 25 Α. Yes.

1 Okay. And the position of the Assistant Q. 2 Academy Director, that's also a Sergeant position; is that correct? 3 4 Α. Yes 5 Your relationship with Lieutenant Muxo, you 6 would describe it as a professional relationship; is 7 that correct? Yes, I would. 8 Α. You guys didn't have a relationship outside of 9 10 work, like you hung out, or anything like that? 11 That is correct. 12 Now, I'd like to talk about your damages that Q. 13 you testified to that you sustained as a result of not 14 being transferred into the Assistant Academy Director position. You guys haven't taken any time off 15 16 specifically for any sick leave since 2010, since this 17 position became available, you have not taken off any sick leave; is that correct? 18 19 That is correct. 20 And you haven't sought any medical treatment, Q. 21 whether it be psychologist, psychiatrist, any type of 22 therapy, or anything like that as a result of this 23 incident; is that correct? 24 Α. That's correct.

Once again, we established that you didn't

25

Q.

1 lose any pay; is that correct? A. That's correct. 2 You didn't receive any type of demotion, like 3 Q. 4 you are still a Sergeant; is that correct? 5 Yes, that's correct. 6 Then you also stated that as far as your Q. 7 personal life, you lost weight, you had trouble 8 sleeping. Once again, you didn't seek any professional 9 help as a result of that? 10 A. That's correct. You didn't go to a doctor, or psychologist, or 11 Q. 12 psychiatrist, or anything of that nature; is that 13 correct? 14 That's correct. A. MS. TUCKER: I don't have anything further. 15 16 Thank you, Sergeant. 17 THE COURT: Redirect? 18 MS. PETRUSKA: Thank you, your Honor. 19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MS. PETRUSKA: 21 Sergeant Bonenberger, first I want to ask you 22 about the discipline you described, Exhibit J. All of 23 that happened before you were assigned to the academy 24 as an instructor; is that correct? 25 Α. Yes.

1 And in terms of some of the driving issues, Q. you were reprimanded for accidents, that all happened, 2 3 and the department has kept you as a driving training 4 instructor; is that correct? 5 Yes, ma'am. Α. 6 You were asked some questions about the Q. 7 minimal requirements for the Assistant Director 8 Position as set forth in Exhibit 1; do you recall that? 9 Yes. I do. 10 Let me ask you this, one of the other minimal Q. 11 requirements is two years experience as an academy 12 instructor, or two years prior classroom teaching 13 experience; is that correct? 14 Α. Yes, ma'am. Did you have that minimal qualification? 15 Q. 16 Yes, ma'am. Α. 17 To your knowledge, did Sergeant Taylor have Q. 18 that minimal qualification? 19 Α. To my knowledge, no. 20 Then you see here at the bottom of the page, Q. 21 it talks about desired qualifications and training. I 22 have to flip the page again, so give me a second, and 23 one of the desired qualifications and training is the 24 Instructor Development School course; do you see that?

25

A.

I do.

1 Q. Is that your post-certification? 2 It is. You have to successfully complete the 3 Instructor Development Course before you can become a 4 post-certified instructor in the State of Missouri. 5 That's how you get the certification. 6 That was at least -- it wasn't a mandatory 7 requirement, but it was a desired qualification for the 8 job? 9 Yes, it was. 10 We have probably beat this dead horse, but I 11 wanted to be clear. In terms of the grievance, in your 12 mind, did you believe you were trying to alert the 13 department to discrimination? 14 In my mind, I was, because that's why I wanted 15 the member of the EEOC present during any subsequent 16 interviews to insure that discrimination didn't occur. 17 Now, you were also asked some questions about 18 being the President of the Police Officers Association; 19 do you recall those questions? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Being President of the Police Officers 22 Association, that is not selected by the command ring, 23 correct?

It was an elected position?

24

25

Α.

Q.

Nb.

1 A. Yes. Who elects you? 2 Q. The members of the Police Association. 3 Α. 4 Q. And what's the highest rank of a member of the 5 Police Association can be? 6 Α. Chief. 7 Member of the Police Association? Q. 8 A. Yes. 9 The Chief can be? Q. 10 Any member, any active commissioned police 11 officer can join the Police Officers Association 12 regardless of rank, but they do restrict that no rank 13 above Sergeant can run for and achieve an elected 14 position on the executive board. 15 Is the rank and file of the Association primarily Officers and Sergeants, or are there Captains 16 17 and Lieutenants, Majors and Colonels in there? 18 There are. The breakdown pretty much follows 19 the line of the police department. Obvious, there is 20 There is a handful of Colonels, handfuls of one Chief. 21 Majors, 12 or so Captains, hundred or so Lieutenants, 22 200 or so Sergeants, the rest are patrol officers or 23 police officers in that rank. 24 Do the command rank officers vote for Q.

President of the Association?

1 Yes, they do. Α. 2 THE COURT: When you state all of those 3 numbers and stuff, are you saying all of those people 4 are members of the Police Officer's Association? 5 THE WITNESS: No, I was giving the example 6 about a breakdown in the amount of people. 7 I didn't understand. THE COURT: 8 MS. PETRUSKA: Let me clarify, because I think 9 the Judge is asking an important distinction. You gave 10 us the number of how many people are in those ranks. 11 THE COURT: In the department. 12 THE WITNESS: In the department, yes, ma'am, 13 roughly. 14 Q. (By Ms. Petruska) My question was -- thank you, Judge -- my question was how many of the people 15 16 are actually members of the Association? 17 I don't have the exact number, but we do have 18 numbers of all ranks. I believe the current Chief is 19 also a member of the Association. 20 Okay. Let me ask you this way then, do you Q. 21 consider being President of the Police Officers 22 Association a career-enhancing position? No, no, probably the opposite. 23 Α. 24 Q. Why not? 25 Α. Just by the nature of it. It puts you at

1 odds, or an adversarial position, with the management 2 of the police department. 3 Anything else you want to add before I sit 4 down about why you would not consider the Presidency of 5 the Association to be a career-enhancing assignment? 6 I can only speculate on opinions of 7 Commanders. No, I don't have anything to add. 8 MS. PETRUSKA: Then that's all of the 9 questions I have, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: You may step down. You may call 11 your next witness. 12 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 13 MS. PETRUSKA: Plaintiff will call Sergeant 14 Deborah Boelling. 15 THE COURT: Ma'am, if you step up here to the 16 clerk to be sworn. 17 SGT. DEBORAH BOELLING. 18 being produced and sworn, testified as follows: 19 DIRECT EXAMENATION 20 BY MS. BARTH: 21 Good afternoon. Can you please introduce 22 yourself to the jury? 23 My name is Deborah Boelling. 24 You are currently a Sergeant with the St. Q. 25 Louis Metropolitan Police Department?

That's correct. 1 A. 2 Q. Are you on duty today? I'm not. I'm off. 3 Α. How many years have you been a police officer 4 Q. with the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department? 5 6 A. 19. 7 Q. 19 years? 8 Correct Α. 9 And during your tenure as a police officer Q. 10 with the department, have you been recognized with any 11 awards or special achievements? 12 I have. A. 13 MS. TUCKER: Objection, relevance. 14 THE COURT: Overruled. (By Ms. Petruska) Your answer was yes? 15 Q. 16 It was. I have several awards. Α. 17 What awards are those? Q. 18 I received three Chief letters of A. 19 commendation. I received four or five awards of 20 excellence. I have been awarded the officer of the 21 month. I have been awarded a leadership award of 22 excellence. I think that's about it. 23 And what are -- what's a letter of 24 commendation from the Chief? 25 It is where the Chief recognizes you for doing 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

something exemplary while in the line of duty. When were you promoted to the rank of Sergeant? Α. November of 2001. Before you were promoted, Sergeant, did you Q. ever provide any training to new officers? I was a field training officer for a number of years before I was promoted. What is a field training officer? When a recruit graduates the academy, they go into police work. It is like on-the-job training. They are paired up with an officer who trains them, teaches them how to apply the book knowledge they learned at the academy toward police work, helps them through report writing, probable cause for car stops, how to handle and talk to people on the scene, things like that. How long were you a field training officer? Q.

- A. About four years.
- Q. What is the process that you follow to become a field training officer?
- A. They post a position department-wide for field training officers. You have to complete a commissioned interview sheet and essentially apply for it. It has to be approved through your chain of command. Then it

1 is forwarded to the academy. They have the ultimate 2 approval to accept you as a training officer. Q. 3 So that is something that you sought out to do. correct? 4 5 Α. Correct. 6 Then is that the only thing you did to train Q. 7 new officers before you were Sergeant? 8 A. Yes. 9 Then after you were promoted to Sergeant, did 10 you hold any positions that provided training to new officers? 11 12 I was assigned to the academy as the I did. 13 Field Training Coordinator; and basically, I oversaw 14 everyone who was in the field training program at the 15 time, both the field training officers and the 16 probationary police officers. 17 Was that a permanent full-time position? Q. 18 Α. Yes, ma'am. 19 Q. Were you assigned permanently to the police 20 academy while you were the Field Training Coordinator? 21 Yes, I was. A. 22 What was the process that you underwent to Q. 23 become a Field Training Coordinator? 24 Same thing. It was an advertised position Α.

department-wide. I applied for the position, completed

1 a commissioned interview sheet, got approval from my 2 chain of command, and then forwarded it onto the 3 Then I had to go through an interview academy. 4 process. 5 And how long did you serve as the Field Q. 6 Training Coordinator at the police academy? 7 That was a little bit over a year. Α. 8 Q. What was the year that you did that? 9 2003. Α. 10 Q. After your Field Training Coordinator position, was there any other position that you held 11 12 that provided training to new police officers? 13 Before the Assistant Director position or? 14 Q. Yes? 15 Α. Nb. 16 Then at some point, you were appointed to the Q. 17 position of the Assistant Director to the police 18 academy? 19 A. Correct. 20 Q. What year was that? 21 A. 2009. 22 You were appointed to that position as a Q. 23 Sergeant? 24 Yes, ma'am. Α. 25 Have you ever taken the Lieutenant's exam? Q.

A. Several times.

Q. Have you ever taken the Lieutenant's exam since you have been appointed to the Assistant Director position?

A. No.

Q. When you were the Assistant Director of the police academy, what were your duties?

A. Well, I was involved with recruit planning. I had to repair their schedule for their 26 or 28 weeks of training. I had to manage six budgets that were routed through the academy. I sat in recruit classes to evaluate instructors.

I did some training of the recruits. I went through the rules and regulations, introductions, I covered the Field Training Program with them when they were there, but that was more towards the end of their training. I did a number of things.

Q. What is the importance of having experience in training officers to the position of Assistant Director?

A. When recruits enter the academy, this is a major stepping stone for them to mold them into young police officers. They are very impressionable.

Generally, they are very young. It is a para military structure, so we want to teach them about our rules and

1 regulations and rank, and how important that is, and appearances mean a lot. Your interaction with them 2 3 means a lot. It is a basis for which they are going to be successful in their career as an officer. 4 5 And would you say when you were the Assistant 6 Director of the academy, that you had shown a passion 7 for training prior to taking that position? 8 Α. Absolutely. 9 Would you say it is important to have a passion for training new officers in the position of 10 11 Assistant Director? 12 Α. Without a doubt. 13 At the time of your appointment, who was your Q. immediate supervisor? 14 15 Α. Lieutenant Anthony McDuffy. 16 At some point, did Lieutenant Muxo become your Q. 17 district supervisor?

Q. When did that occur?

He did.

A.

- A. Early 2010, I believe, March, February maybe.
- 21 Q. And at some point, you were transferred out of the academy?
 - A. Correct.

18

19

20

23

24

25

Q. And do you recall that the open position for Assistant Director was posted?

1 A. It was. 2 Q. Do you recall when that occurred? 3 Α. Just prior to my transfer. I think it was sometime in September of 2010. 4 And prior to that job being posted, did you 5 6 have any conversations with Lieutenant Muxo as to who 7 would replace you in the position of Assistant 8 Director? 9 I did. I had several informal conversations 10 with him. 11 What did he tell you? Q. 12 MS. TUCKER: Objection, hearsay. 13 THE COURT: Overruled, for the reasons stated 14 in the pretrial rulings, go ahead. 15 THE WITNESS: He told me that Harris wanted a 16 black female in the position, and that there no way 17 they were going to put a white male in that position. 18 (By Ms. Barth) And he told you that even 19 before the position was posted? 20 A. He did. 21 And did you have any conversations with 22 Lieutenant Muxo about who you thought would be the good 23 person for the position of Assistant Director? 24 Α. I did. I recommended David Bonenberger.

Why did you make that recommendation?

25

Q.

1 I worked with David in the Third District. Α. Ι got to know his style of police work. He is a very 2 3 good investigator, very good report writer, very passionate about the job. And then later in his 4 5 career, he taught at the academy. He assisted with 6 driver's training, and I think patrol or criminal 7 investigation, but he had written several lesson plans. 8 Those lesson plans were so well-written that after he had left the academy, we were still using them by the 9 10 instructors. 11 He did still come down to the academy, even 12

He did still come down to the academy, even after transfer, to do some adjunct work, training, do training for both recruits and department members who had been involved in accidents. He was still involved, even though he wasn't personally assigned to the academy.

- Q. So would you say that Sergeant Bonenberger had shown a passion for training new police officers?
 - A. Absolutely.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Then after the job was posted, did you have any other conversations with Lieutenant Muxo about who would be put in the position?
- A. I had asked him who he was interested in, he had mentioned a specific person.

110

Q. Who was that?

1 Angela Taylor. A. Did he tell you why they were looking to put 2 3 Angela Taylor into the position? Just again, he had said that Harris wanted a 4 A. 5 black female in that position. 6 Did he say why they would not put David 7 Bonenberger into the position? 8 A. Nb. 9 Did he respond to your suggestion that 10 Sergeant Bonenberger would be a good choice in any way? 11 He was indifferent. I wouldn't say he said 12 anything negative or positive per se, other than there 13 is no way they are going to let me put a white man in 14 there. 15 MS. BARTH: I have no further questions of the 16 witness -- sorry. 17 (By Ms. Barth) Sergeant, what was the race of 18 Lieutenant McDuffy? 19 Α. He was a black male. 20 THE COURT: Cross-examination? 21 CROSS-EXAMENATION 22 BY MS. TUCKER: 23 Good afternoon, Sergeant Boelling? Q. 24 Good afternoon. Α. 25 When you were a field training officer, as a Q.

1 field training officer, I think you testified that you were basically provided on-the-job training, or helping 2 3 with the on-the-job training for the probationary officers? 4 5 Correct. Α. 6 You basically guided them, told them, or Q. 7 directed them with regard to -- for lack of a better 8 term -- good and bad policing; is that correct? 9 That would be correct. 10 Q. Now you and Lieutenant Muxo are not friends; 11 is that correct, you are not personal acquaintances. 12 You don't have relations outside of the workplace; is 13 that correct? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. You have known Sergeant Bonenberger since the 16 time, were you in the academy together or --17 No, he was a class or two ahead of me. 18 we weren't in the academy together. We worked together 19 in District 3. 20 You worked together with him for approximately Q. 21 seven years? 22 Roughly, yes. Α. 23 When you allege these comments were made by Q. 24 Lieutenant Muxo, was there anybody else present at that

25

time?

```
1
               There were several conversations of this
          Α.
 2
     nature, and on a couple of those occasions, Sergeant
 3
     Tucker was present in my office.
 4
               All right. When you were having these
          Q.
     conversations with Lieutenant Muxo, you knew that you
 5
 6
     were going to be transferred out of the position,
 7
     correct?
8
          A.
              Correct
9
               MS. TUCKER: I don't have anything else.
10
     Thank you.
11
               THE COURT:
                          Any redirect?
12
               MS. BARTH: No, your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: You may step down.
14
              THE WITNESS:
                             Thank you.
15
              THE COURT:
                         You may proceed.
16
               MS. PETRUSKA: We will have to see if our
17
     reader is here.
18
               MS. BARTH: And can we take a quick break to
19
     discuss with the defendants.
20
               THE COURT: Yeah, members of the jury, we will
21
     be in recess for two minutes, so you can go to the jury
22
     room for a very short time. We will have you back in.
23
                   (At 4:37 p.m., the Proceedings stood in
24
     temporary recess.)
25
               THE COURT: Tell me what we need to know.
```

```
1
              MS. BARTH:
                          Based upon your rulings earlier
     today, we have a copy that has been stricken to what
 2
 3
     needs to be stricken. We just need a copy made for the
 4
              So I have one as well. Can we do it by hand?
     reader.
 5
              THE COURT: You need time to go copy a
6
     deposition. How long is this going to take and where
7
     is your photocopier that you are going to use.
8
              MS. BARTH: I have another copy that I'll go
9
     through, if you don't want to make a copy. It will
10
     take a few extra minutes to do that.
11
              THE COURT:
                          This is from the rulings I did at
12
     8:30 this morning.
13
                          Yes.
              MS. BARTH:
              THE COURT: Okay. How long is the deposition
14
15
     that we need to photocopy.
16
              MS. BARTH: 30 something pages.
17
              THE COURT: Okay. We will copy it for you.
18
     How many copies?
19
              MR. HOELL: We are going to be working off the
20
     same copy as well. One for the witness, I believe.
21
              THE COURT: One for the witness then, let's go
22
     off the record.
23
               (A discussion was held off the record.)
24
              THE COURT: Back on the record.
25
              MR. HOELL: Defendant would like to revisit
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the issue prior to reading the deposition of the admissibility of the 2012 -- plus, there is evidence based on the testimony of the plaintiff and his views on how this transfer, which I did not receive, would benefit and aid his career, and that the allegation even could have been made to the jury that this is somehow a clearer path or --THE COURT: Is this a deposition portion? MR. HOELL: Correct. THE COURT: We only have one copy of the deposition. Show me the page and line we are talking Tell me exactly what you are asking me to do. about. BARTH: Page 27. MS. THE COURT: Tell me the page lines you are asking me to allow in evidence that I previously excluded, page 37, starting at eight. Where does the excerpt end that you want me to include. MR. HOELL: I gave away my copy. It goes on for a couple of pages, I believe. Is this something I ruled on this THE COURT: morning. I don't recall it. This was part of the motion in limine? MR. HOELL: Correct. It ends on line 11 on page 39. THE COURT: All right. Let me review these

1 pages. This refers to an exhibit. Is that something that's going to be used here or not. 2 3 The first question is: "I'm now showing you 4 Plaintiff's Exhibit 34. Please tell me if you have 5 seen that before. 6 Answer: Yes, yes sorry." 7 You want that in evidence, but you are not 8 going to show us Plaintiff's Exhibit 34, or are you 9 going to show it. 10 MR. HOELL: If I got that evidence in, then I 11 probably would. 12 THE COURT: Okay hold on for a second, is your argument that, well, he couldn't have been damaged by 13 14 this, because he wouldn't have been promoted anyway? 15 MR. HOELL: That regardless of the position he 16 held at the police department, it comes down to how you 17 are doing, high-profile or not. 18 THE COURT: And your response, Ms. Petruska, 19 why isn't that relevant, even if he had gotten this 20 job, he still wouldn't have qualified for a promotion. 21 MS. PETRUSKA: Because we are not claiming 22 that it is an element of damages. We are not claiming 23 any lost wages as an element of damages, and it would 24 be like saying that firing somebody wasn't an adverse employment. The issue is whether it is an adverse

1 employment action. 2 THE COURT: No. This is an issue of damages. 3 Your witnesses said there are bad things that happen to 4 me, because I didn't get the job. Part of why I wanted 5 the job it could lead to a promotion. This really is 6 not an issue of whether it is an adverse employment 7 It is a separate issue that he is claiming action. 8 damages. He said all of the things happened, because I 9 didn't get the job. They were emotional and all of 10 these things, and it would have been a better job, 11 because I would have an opportunity for promotion. So 12 why isn't it relevant on the damages aspect? 13 MS. PETRUSKA: The only thing that came up in 14 terms of an opportunity for promotion was when there 15 was a question by Ms. --16 THE COURT: Yeah, I understand. 17 MS. PETRUSKA: -- Tucker, and I objected. 18 THE COURT: Hold on just a second. Why don't 19 you bring the jury in. 20 I'm going to send the jury home, because I do 21 want to hear argument on this. I think I'm actually 22 not totally sure these two things were in each other. 23 The issue is whether this is an adverse employment 24 action verses -- you know, damages issues. I think --25 I'm not sure the way I just stated it is correct.

(At 4:47 p.m., the Proceedings resumed in open court.)

THE COURT: You may be seated. So members of the jury, you are going to get a slightly early afternoon today, because we do have to talk about something with regard to this next evidence, and we are going to let you go, so we can talk about it after you are gone, rather than making you wait in the jury room. It is almost 5 o'clock.

I'm going to remind you the instructions that I gave you earlier today, that during this recess, or any other recess, you must not discuss the case with anybody. You must not communicate in any way, whether over the internet, or texting on your phone, or any way, and you may not do any research about the case.

I know when you go home after the first day of the jury trial, people are going to say what happened, what happened. What's the case about. It is tempting to say it is about a police officer, and the city, and please don't do that, because you never know when somebody, your next door neighbor, your husband, you spouse or kids would say, I know somebody has had something similar happen, or I saw something like that on TV, not this case, I assure you, but all of a sudden you are hearing things that might influence your

decision here, even though you would try not to do it, I'm sure.

Here is what you can tell them, you can say:

"Look I got picked on a jury. It is a civil case. I'm in Federal Court. It is Judge Perry's Court. I can tell you all about it either on Wednesday or Thursday, when it is all over."

Once it is finished, you are free to talk about the case. But essentially, you can't talk or communicate in any way, and even with each other, that you cannot talk about the case until you have it with deliberations.

With that, you are excused, and we'll start at 9 a.m. tomorrow. You can come earlier, it will be open by 8:30 or earlier. If you want to come earlier, you can. I do often warn people that -- I can't remember what I always say -- the traffic will be easier, but the parking will be harder, because you are coming a little later than you did this morning, if you are not used to driving downtown.

I can't be sure about any of those things. So I'll see you in the morning at 9 a.m., just leave your books on the chairs, then they will be there for you in the morning, thank you very much.

(At 4:50 p.m., the Proceedings stood in

temporary recess.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Here is the issue that I need you all to clarify for me, and so the evidence is that the plaintiff took the Lieutenant's test in 2012, but did not score in a way that would have allowed him to be promoted to Lieutenant in any event, right?

MR. HOELL: 42 people would have had to be promoted ahead of him, before he would be considered.

THE COURT: Right, so I think the defendant's argument is actually twofold, and I think I was saying this was one, and not the other, and I'm not sure if there is an argument about whether not getting this position was an adverse employment action, and argument as well, it could lead to promotion, but because it wasn't actually a promotion.

The plaintiff has stated why he believes it was a better job, a different job, it gave him an opportunity for promotion, even though he didn't get a higher rank. That is why he believes it meets the legal definition of an adverse employment action.

That's what he said more or less.

The other argument is well, he wouldn't have been promoted to Lieutenant anyway, because he didn't rank high enough on the test. So he can't claim any loss for not getting a promotion, but the plaintiff is not claiming a promotion. He is not claiming that he would have made Lieutenant necessarily. He is not claiming any lost wages or differential in the wages he would have gotten as a Lieutenant.

So why is the performance on the 2012 relevant. What does it go to. Is it going to damages. Is it going to adverse employment action or both?

MR. HOELL: The argument would be both, your Honor, especially when this witness that it came up with, Mr. Robins, his whole point is that based on his time as a member of the Board of Police Commissioners, Assistant Director position is high-profile, and he testified, I believe, that six out of nine people who have held this have gone onto Lieutenant.

He is laying that foundation that you have this job, you become Lieutenant, and I think that opens the door to well, not Sergeant Bonenberger, based on how he tested on this 2012 test.

THE COURT: Is that what he says here,

Ms. Petruska. I never read the deposition, so this may
well -- I don't know why you are calling him as expert
witness. I didn't even know there were going to be any
expert witnesses, but since nobody has objected to
expert testimony --

MR. HOELL: I also point out, your Honor, the

1 results of -- Exhibit 34, the 2008, 10 and 12 Sergeant 2 promotion list is a plaintiff exhibit. We have not 3 objected to that. They may -- this is on their exhibit 4 list for trial as well. 5 THE COURT: So what does this -- what is your 6 response, Ms. Barth? 7 MS. BARTH: My response is you granted our 8 motion in limine in pretrial where this deposition had 9 already taken place. 10 THE COURT: I said they could approach the 11 bench if they thought the door had been opened. I want 12 -- you tell me, not what I said, or what the previous 13 rulings were, tell me why this person is testifying, 14 and what they say, because if this is valid 15 cross-examination of his expert testimony, then it 16 seems to me, I excluded it erroneously. 17 BARTH: His testimony is about the fact MS. 18 that within the department, this is a career-enhancing position, not necessarily that you are going to be 19 20 promoted immediately to Lieutenant, which they did 21 cross-examine on that, and that is included in the 22 deposition. My understanding was if David Bonenberger 23 opened the door to it, they were going to reargue it. 24 25 THE COURT: If you, the plaintiff, opened the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
door to it, but I didn't -- no one told me that this
was what this expert witness was going to be saying.
Can you give me what of this deposition you are going
to read into evidence, so I can read it tonight, and
see if this is proper cross-examination.
         MS. BARTH:
                   Sure. We can leave you -- I have
a blacked-out copy of things we definitely agreed to.
We had already agreed to strike the portions about the
2012 Lieutenant's exam
         THE COURT: Right, that's why he said.
asking you to reconsider it. That's what he said.
         MS. BARTH:
                     There is an asterisk on our copy,
because we had agreed, but I can send you two copies,
if you want to see.
         THE COURT: Yeah, I just need one copy. You
can write a note on it saying: "This is the part that
he wants in.
             That we want out."
         MS. BARTH:
                     I'm just -- there is an asterisk.
It may be hard for you to read.
         THE COURT: Here is another copy of the
deposition.
        MR. HOELL: That's my only copy.
         THE COURT: You can have it back. I need you,
all right, now in the next five minutes, to give me
what it is the plaintiff wants to introduce, and what
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
it is the defendant wants to introduce. What you have
agreed on, and then what is disputed. If you have one
-- if it is just that has a big X through it, as
opposed to a giant magic marker through it, I can
probably read it.
         MS. BARTH:
                    You can have it.
         THE COURT: Okay.
                          Let me make sure that I
understand.
            The part that I had excluded is on page --
         MR. HOELL:
                    Starts on page 37, your Honor.
         THE COURT:
                    Okay. The disputed part starts on
37 at --
         MR. HOELL:
                    Line eight.
         THE COURT: Okay. To, when does it end?
         MR. HOELL:
                    It ends at page 39, line 12, would
be the last part in dispute probably.
         THE COURT: Probably line seven, since that's
the answer, and the rest is just an objection, right.
It won't be.
         MR. HOELL:
                    Yes.
         THE COURT: So line seven. Okay. I will look
at this, and I want everybody to be here at 8:45 in the
morning. I'll go on the record at 8:45. I'll give you
my rulings on this at that point. What else do we need
to discuss before we start tomorrow?
                    The only thing, Judge, if you want
         MS. BARTH:
```

```
me to hand you a copy of what we had written on the
 1
 2
     jury instructions for the deposition testimony.
 3
              THE COURT: If you have an instruction you
 4
     wish me to give before this testimony, by all means
 5
     tell me.
6
              MR. HOELL: Judge, for scheduling purposes, I
7
     don't know if they have an idea how many more
8
     witnesses.
9
              THE COURT: What is your estimation of when
10
     you will finish?
11
              MS. PETRUSKA: Probably sometime tomorrow
12
     morning.
13
              THE COURT: That tells the defense what they
14
     need to know. So you all are saying you would like me
15
     to give this testimony?
16
              MR. HOELL: Prior to the reading, yes, your
17
     Honor.
18
              THE COURT: Yeah. So I will do this, although
19
     I may not say -- even say his name.
20
              Here is the question, every time we have a
21
     reading of a deposition, isn't the person reading it
22
     not the witness. Because by definition, if it was the
23
     witness, we wouldn't be reading the deposition, right?
24
              MR. HOELL: Correct.
25
              THE COURT: But you want me to say this
```

1 witness reading is not the witness, because the witness 2 isn't here. This is somebody else reading the answers. 3 I'll do that. I'm telling you that's what 4 always happens. I don't mind reading this. I'm just 5 trying to tell you to think through the logic of it. 6 I'm giving you grief at the end of the first day of 7 trial, and I apologize. You all have been working 8 hard. 9 Is there anything else we need to discuss at 10 all in the plaintiff's case, so we will be ready for 11 any unexpected things, or anything else I need to 12 resolve? 13 MS. TUCKER: Your Honor, my only other 14 question is whether there is any other depositions? 15 THE COURT: Is this the only deposition 16 testimony that you are going to read? 17 MS. PETRUSKA: You asked me about --18 THE COURT: Hold on, off the record. 19 (A discussion was held off the record.) 20 There maybe objections, Judge MS. PETRUSKA: 21 about one of the readings, but I need to look at that 22 tonight to figure out if I'm really going to use it, 23 which I may not, so there wouldn't be any other issues 24 about reading. That's the Mokwa deposition. 25 THE COURT: You all will discuss it tonight.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
MS. PETRUSKA: I told -- yes, I told Ms.
Tucker that I would look at it when I get back to the
office, and I would e-mail her, so that we can figure
out where we are and know where we are when we come in
tomorrow.
         THE COURT: Okay, because I don't really want
to spend a lot of time with the jury in the jury room
while we're discussing something we could have
discussed. Do we need to come in earlier tomorrow,
just in case?
         MS. PETRUSKA: I don't think I'm going to use
it.
         THE COURT: Okay 8:45, I will see you then,
that's what we will do.
         I do apologize for giving you guys grief
        I know you are working hard, but some of the
today.
stuff you need to think through. Court is in recess.
             (End of proceedings)
```

1 2 CERTIFICATE 3 I, Lisa M Paczkowski, Registered Professional 4 5 Reporter, hereby certify that I am a duly appointed 6 Official Court Reporter of the United States District 7 Court for the Eastern District of Missouri. 8 I further certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings held in the 9 10 above-entitled case and that said transcript is a true 11 and correct transcription of my stenographic notes. 12 I further certify that this transcript 13 contains pages 1 through 128 inclusive and that this 14 reporter takes no responsibility for missing or damaged 15 pages of this transcript when same transcript is copied 16 by any party other than this reporter. 17 Dated St. Louis, Missouri, this 3rd day of 18 September, 2014. 19 20 21 22 /s/Lisa M Paczkowski Lisa M Paczkowski, CCR, CSR, RPR 23 Official Court Reporter 24 25